

1 STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP
 JULIA B. STRICKLAND (State Bar No. 083013)
 2 STEPHEN J. NEWMAN (State Bar No. 181570)
 DAVID W. MOON (State Bar No. 197711)
 3 A.R. KACHADOORIAN (State Bar No. 240601)
 2029 Century Park East
 4 Los Angeles, California 90067-3086
 Telephone: 310-556-5800
 5 Facsimile: 310-556-5959

6 Attorneys for Defendant
 CHASE BANK USA, N.A.

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EUGENE REEDE STOCKTON, on behalf of
 himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CHASE BANK USA, N.A.

Defendant.

) Case No. C 09-0587-MMC

) [Assigned to the Hon. Maxine M. Chesney]

) **STIPULATION AND [Proposed] ORDER**
) **TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT**
) **CONFERENCE**

) AND ORDER THEREON

STIPULATION AND [Proposed] ORDER
 TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
 Case No. C 09-0587-MMC

1 WHEREAS, on February 9, 2009, plaintiff Eugene Reede Stockton filed the Class Action
2 Complaint (the “Complaint”);

3 WHEREAS, multiple similar class actions currently are pending in this District and in other
4 federal district courts around the country and two Motions for Transfer and Coordination pursuant
5 to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 (the “MDL Motions”) were filed with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict
6 Litigation (the “Panel”) and docketed as In Re: Chase Bank USA, N.A., “Check Loan” Contract
7 Litigation, MDL No. 2032;

8 WHEREAS, on March 4, 2009, the parties filed a stipulation continuing the date for Chase
9 to respond to the Complaint to April 3, 2009, which was entered by the Court (Docket No. 10);

10 WHEREAS, the Court issued a Case Management Scheduling Order on March 25, 2009
11 setting a Case Management Conference for June 26, 2009 at 10:30 a.m., with a Case Management
12 Statement due on June 19, 2009 (Docket No. 13);

13 WHEREAS, on or about April 2, 2009, the parties filed a stipulation to extend the time in
14 which defendant Chase Bank USA, N.A. must respond to the Complaint until: (a) in the event the
15 MDL Motions are granted, at such time as may be ordered by the MDL transferee judge; or (b) in
16 the event the MDL Motions are denied, 10 days after such denial, which was granted by the Court
17 (Docket No. 16);

18 WHEREAS, the Panel held a hearing on the MDL Motions on May 27, 2009, and the
19 parties expect a decision from the Panel soon, which will coordinate all the multiple similar
20 actions;

21 WHEREAS, in light of the foregoing, the parties have agreed to continue the initial Case
22 Management Conference and corresponding deadlines set by the Court in its Case Management
23 Scheduling Order, as it appears likely that that this action will be transferred to another District for
24 pre-trial purposes;

25 WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rules 6-1(b) and 6-2, the parties may request by stipulation
26 an order altering dates and deadlines previously fixed by the Court;

27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, pursuant to the Stipulation between the parties, that:

The initial Case Management Conference in this case is continued from June 26, 2009 until August 21, 2009. All corresponding deadlines set by the Court in its Case Management Scheduling Order are continued accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 12, 2009


The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Judge