1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 TIM CARRICO, SMALL PROPERTY No. C 09-00605 WHA OWNERS OF SAN FRANCISCO 11 INSTITUTE, and MARY FIGONE, 12 Plaintiffs, **ORDER DISMISSING ACTION** 13 v. 14 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, 15 Defendants. 16 17 On September 6, 2011, our court of appeals entered an opinion holding that 18 plaintiff-appellants' allegations were insufficient to confer standing and that amendment would 19 be futile. The action was remanded with instructions to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction 20 (Dkt. No. 61). The mandate returning this action to the district court has just been entered 21 (Dkt. No. 62). The action is accordingly **DISMISSED** for lack of jurisdiction. The Clerk **SHALL** 22 CLOSE THE FILE. 23 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 26 Dated: October 4, 2011. 27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 28