I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	BRYAN DAVIS,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-0266 GGH P
12	VS.
13	M.S. EVANS, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant
17	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has not submitted an application to proceed in forma pauperis.
18	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on
19	diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all
20	defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events
21	or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject
22	of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is
23	no district in which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
24	In this case, the defendants are located and the claim arose in Monterey County,
25	which is in the Northern District of California. Therefore, plaintiff's claim should have been
26	filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. In the interest of
	1

1	justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct district.
2	See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the
4	United States District Court for the Northern District of California.
5	DATED: February 6, 2009
6	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
7	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	GGH:md davi0266.21a
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I