UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Alberta Telecommunications Research Centre d/b/a TR Labs	CASE NO. 09-cv-00729
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 07 CV 00725
V. Axiom Memory Solutions, Oki Electric Industry Company, Ltd. and Sanmina-SCI Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Counsel report that they have met ar following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follow	ving ADR process:
appreciably more likely to meet their needs ADR phone conference and may not file thi ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Ru Private Process:	NE) (ADR L.R. 5) tlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
referring the case to an ADR	by: he deadline is 90 days from the date of the order A process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: 05/08/09	George C. Summerfield Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 05/08/09	Albin H. Gess & Allonn Levy Attorney for Defendant

-[PROPOSED]ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

✓ 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 11, 2009

Maxine M. Chesney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE