1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	Northern District of California
6	
7	ELIZABETH KARNAZES,
8	No. C 09-0767 MMC (MEJ) Plaintiff,
9	V. ORDER RE: MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
10	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO and DEBORAH TITONE,
11	Defendants.
12	/
13	Pending before the Court is Defendants' motion for an order to show cause. (Dkt. #72.) In
14	their motion, Defendants request that the Court issue an order for Mark Heitner, M.D., to show
15	cause why he should not be held in contempt for failure to appear at his noticed deposition. The
16	Court is now in receipt of Plaintiff's opposition thereto, in which she provides Dr. Heitner's
17	declaration in response. (Dkt. #86.) From his declaration, it appears that Dr. Heitner believes that
18	an order to show cause has already issued. However, the purpose of Defendants' motion is for the
19	Court to determine whether such an order should be issued. Accordingly, Dr. Heitner need not
20	appear at the July 1, 2010 hearing. If the Court determines that an order to show cause should be
21	issued, Dr. Heitner shall be ordered to appear before the Court at that time.
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	
24	Dated: June 8, 2010
25	
26	Maria-Elena James Chief United States Magistrate Judge
27	emer emea sautes magistrate varge
28	