Buenrostro et al v. City of Oakland et al

Doc. 57

approval of the Oakland City Council.

Thereafter, on May 28, 2010, the Plaintiffs filed a Stipulation of Dismissal with the Court requesting that the matter be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice within ninety (90) days, provided, however, that if any party hereto shall certify to this Court, within ninety (90) days, with proof of service of a copy thereon to opposing counsel, that the agreed consideration for said settlement has not been delivered over, the foregoing Order shall stand vacated and this case shall forthwith be restored to the calendar to be set for trial.

Under the terms of the Stipulation of Dismissal granted by the Court on June 1, 2010, the Buenrostro matter will be permanently dismissed on September 1, 2010, the ninety-day (90) deadline. The parties request that the deadline by which the Buenrostro matter is dismissed be extended an additional forty-five (45) days as the Buenrostro matter is scheduled to be heard by the City of Oakland City Council for final approval on September 21, 2010. (Please see the attached Declaration of Randolph Hall.)

The parties request a forty-five (45) day extension or until October 16 2010, thereafter, the matter will be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice, provided, however, that if any party hereto shall certify to this Court that the agreed consideration for said settlement has not been delivered over, the foregoing Order shall stand vacated and this case shall forthwith be restored to the calendar to be set for trial.

Defendants, by and through, City of Oakland, Assistant City Attorney, Randolph W.

Hall, have reviewed the Request to Extend the Deadline for Dismissal of Action and (Proposed)

Order and know the contents herein. Defendants, by and through, City of Oakland, Assistant City

Attorney, Randolph W. Hall give their consent to this document being filed with the Court.

Dated: August 31, 2010 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS

/s/ John L. Burris

John L. Burris Attorney for Plaintiffs

Case3:09-cv-00786-JSW Document56 Filed09/01/10 Page3 of 3

Dated: September 1, 2010 Rankin Sproat Mires Beaty & Reynolds /s/ Geoffrey A. Beaty Geoffrey A. Beaty Attorney for Defendants (PROPOSED) ORDER GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the deadline by which the Buenrostro matter is dismissed is extended by forty-five (45) days thereafter, the matter will be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice, provided, however, that if any party hereto shall certify to this Court that the agreed consideration for said settlement has not been delivered over, the foregoing Order shall stand vacated and this case shall forthwith be restored to the calendar to be set for trial. Dated: September 2, 2010 **States District Court Judge**

(AMENDED) REQUEST TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR DISMISSAL OF ACTION Case No.: 3:09-cv-00786 JSW