Buenrostro et al v. City of Oakland et al

Doc. 59

On September 2, 2010, the Court granted the parties request for a 45 day extension or until October 16 2010, with the provision thereafter the matter will be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice, provided, however, that if any party hereto shall certify to this Court that the agreed consideration for said settlement has not been delivered over, the foregoing Order shall stand vacated and this case shall forthwith be restored to the calendar to be set for trial.

On September 21, 2010, the instant matter was heard by the City of Oakland City Council. However, the Council did not approve the settlement.

On October 19, 2010, Mr. Randolph Hall, the Chief Assistant City Attorney for the City of Oakland is scheduled to reconvene with the City Council in closed session, to further discuss the City Attorney's recommendation to settle the Buenrostro Matter in the amount agreed upon by the parties at mediation, contingent on approval by the Oakland City Council.

In light of the continued efforts to negotiate the approval of the settlement and the matter being heard by the Oakland City Council on October 19, 2010, the parties request a 15 day extension from October 19, 2010 to November 3, 2010, thereafter, the matter will be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice, provided, however, that if any party hereto shall certify to this Court that the agreed consideration for said settlement has not been delivered over, the foregoing Order shall stand vacated and this case shall forthwith be restored to the calendar to be set for trial.

Dated: October 15, 2010 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS

<u>/s/ John L. Burris</u>

John L. Burris, Esq. Steven R. Yourke, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## Case3:09-cv-00786-JSW Document58 Filed10/18/10 Page3 of 3

1	[] '	FFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY ITY OF OAKLAND
2		ITT OF OMEDIND
3		
4	<u>/s/</u>	/ Randolph W. Hall
5		andolph W. Hall, Esq. ssistant City Attorney, City of Oakland
6		ttorney for Defendants
7		
8		
9	[]	ANKIN SPROAT MIRES BEATY & EYNOLDS
10		
11		
12	$\frac{1}{G}$	/s/ Geoffrey A. Beaty eoffrey A. Beaty, Esq.
13		ttorney for Defendants
14		
15		
16	( <del>PROPOSED)</del> ORDER	
17		
18		
19	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the deadline by which the Buenrostro matter is dismissed	
20	is extended 15 days beyond October 19, 2010 or until November 3, 2010, thereafter, the matter	
21	will be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice, provided, however, that if any party hereto shall	
22	certify to this Court that the agreed consideration for said settlement has not been delivered over, the foregoing Order shall stand vacated and this case shall forthwith be restored to the calendar	
23		
24	to be set for trial.	
25		
26		
27	Dated: October 19, 2010	When Starhets
28		on yably offrey S. White nived States District Court
	(AMENDED) REQUEST TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR DISMISSAL OF ACTION Case No.: 3:09-cv-00786 JSW	