-	1 John C. Babin, CSB#96305	.2.
	E-Mail babinlaw@yahoo.com BABINLAW, P.C.	
	3 P.O. Box 1600	
	Brookings, OR 97415 4 Tel: 541-469-5331	
	Fax: 541-469-9865	
	6 Attorney(s) for Plaintiff John A. Metzger	
<u> </u>		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	-
. !	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
	JOHN A. METZGER CASE NO: C 09-00791 EMC MED	
12		
13		
14	STIPULATION AND ORDER OPTING	
	and Does 1-10, SUBSTITUTING A MANDATORY	
15	and Does 1-10, SUBSTITUTING A MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE Defendant.	
15 16	and Does 1-10, SUBSTITUTING A MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE Defendant.	
15 16 17	and Does 1-10, SUBSTITUTING A MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE Defendant. The parties to this action have previously filed the ADR Certification with this court pursuant	
15 16 17 18	and Does 1-10, SUBSTITUTING A MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE Defendant. The parties to this action have previously filed the ADR Certification with this court pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-5(b). On or about July 27, 3009 the parties to this action filed their Joint Cas	e
15 16 17 18	and Does 1-10, Defendant. The parties to this action have previously filed the ADR Certification with this court pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-5(b). On or about July 27, 3009 the parties to this action filed their Joint Cas Management Statement and Proposed Order which provided in paragraph number 9 that the parties	e s
15 16 17 18 19	The parties to this action have previously filed the ADR Certification with this court pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-5(b). On or about July 27, 3009 the parties to this action filed their Joint Cas Management Statement and Proposed Order which provided in paragraph number 9 that the partie were to consider whether to utilize court mediation with the Magistrate Judge in Eureka, California	e s
15 16 17 18	and Does 1-10, Defendant. The parties to this action have previously filed the ADR Certification with this court pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-5(b). On or about July 27, 3009 the parties to this action filed their Joint Cas Management Statement and Proposed Order which provided in paragraph number 9 that the parties	e s
15 16 17 18 19	The parties to this action have previously filed the ADR Certification with this court pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-5(b). On or about July 27, 3009 the parties to this action filed their Joint Cas Management Statement and Proposed Order which provided in paragraph number 9 that the parties were to consider whether to utilize court mediation with the Magistrate Judge in Eureka, California INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT On August 4, 2009 the parties appeared for their Case Management Conference before	e s L
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The parties to this action have previously filed the ADR Certification with this court pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-5(b). On or about July 27, 3009 the parties to this action filed their Joint Cas Management Statement and Proposed Order which provided in paragraph number 9 that the partie were to consider whether to utilize court mediation with the Magistrate Judge in Eureka, California INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT	e s L
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The parties to this action have previously filed the ADR Certification with this court pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-5(b). On or about July 27, 3009 the parties to this action filed their Joint Cas Management Statement and Proposed Order which provided in paragraph number 9 that the parties were to consider whether to utilize court mediation with the Magistrate Judge in Eureka, California INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT On August 4, 2009 the parties appeared for their Case Management Conference before	e L
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The parties to this action have previously filed the ADR Certification with this court pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-5(b). On or about July 27, 3009 the parties to this action filed their Joint Cas Management Statement and Proposed Order which provided in paragraph number 9 that the partie were to consider whether to utilize court mediation with the Magistrate Judge in Eureka, California INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT On August 4, 2009 the parties appeared for their Case Management Conference before Magistrate Judge Wayne D. Brazil. At the case management conference the parties further stipulated	e s
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and Does 1-10, Defendant. The parties to this action have previously filed the ADR Certification with this court pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-5(b). On or about July 27, 3009 the parties to this action filed their Joint Cas Management Statement and Proposed Order which provided in paragraph number 9 that the partie were to consider whether to utilize court mediation with the Magistrate Judge in Eureka, California INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT On August 4, 2009 the parties appeared for their Case Management Conference before Magistrate Judge Wayne D. Brazil. At the case management conference the parties further stipulated and agreed that they would participate in a settlement conference with Magistrate Judge Nandor J.	e s
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Defendant. The parties to this action have previously filed the ADR Certification with this court pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-5(b). On or about July 27, 3009 the parties to this action filed their Joint Cas Management Statement and Proposed Order which provided in paragraph number 9 that the parties were to consider whether to utilize court mediation with the Magistrate Judge in Eureka, California INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT On August 4, 2009 the parties appeared for their Case Management Conference before Magistrate Judge Wayne D. Brazil. At the case management conference the parties further stipulated and agreed that they would participate in a settlement conference with Magistrate Judge Nandor J. Vadas in Eureka, California at a date to be determined in October or November of 2009. The	e s
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Defendant. The parties to this action have previously filed the ADR Certification with this court pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-5(b). On or about July 27, 3009 the parties to this action filed their Joint Cas Management Statement and Proposed Order which provided in paragraph number 9 that the partie were to consider whether to utilize court mediation with the Magistrate Judge in Eureka, California INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT On August 4, 2009 the parties appeared for their Case Management Conference before Magistrate Judge Wayne D. Brazil. At the case management conference the parties further stipulated and agreed that they would participate in a settlement conference with Magistrate Judge Nandor J. Vadas in Eureka, California at a date to be determined in October or November of 2009. The settlement conference was to be in lieu of mediation or other alternate dispute resolution	e s

Page 1

STIPULATION AND ORDER OPTING OUT OF MEDIATION AND SUBSTITUTING A MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

Thereafter a order was entered by Magistrate Judge Wayue D. Brazil referring the case to Magistrate Judge Nandor J. Vadas for a settlement conference subject to Judge Vadas's availability.

On August 12, 2009, Magistrate Judge Nandor J. Vadas issued a notice of settlement conference and settlement conference order setting a settlement conference in the above matter for November 4, 2009 at 10:00 a.m. in courtroom 205 A, second floor, Federal Building, 504 H Street, in Eureka, California.

On August 24, 2009, ADR Casc Administrator Richard W. Wieking, by Claudia M. Forehand, issued a Notice of Appointment of Mediator assigning Judith L. Teichman as Mediator in this matter. A Case Management Conference has been set for September 16, 2009 at 1:00 p.m.

By reason of the above, the parties hereto do hereby stipulate and request an entry of an Order consistent with the following stipulation:

- The parties do hereby select and opt out of the mediation provisions for alternative dispute resolution.
- The parties agree that the appointment of Judith L. Teichman as mediator in this matter and the case management conference scheduled for September 16, 2009 should be vacated.
- In lieu thereof, the parties agree and stipulate to participate in a mandatory settlement conference with Magistrate Judge Nandor J. Vadas pursuant to Notice of Settlement Conference and Settlement Conference Order dated August 12, 2009.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated this b day of September, 2009.

BABINLAW, P.C

John C. Babin

22

23

24 25

26

27

Dated this $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ day of September, 2009.

Attorney for Plaintiff John A. Metzger

LAW OFFICES OF DENNIS P. ISAAC

con Brown

Attorneys for Defendant, Evergreen Pulp, Inc.

28

STIPULATION AND ORDER OPTING OUT OF MEDIATION AND SUBSTITUTING A MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

Page 3

ORDER VACATING APPOINTMENT OF MEDIATOR AND CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND SUBSTITUTING A MANDATORY SETTLEMENT 2 3 FOR MEDIATION Based on the stipulation of the parties hereto, Stipulation Opting Out of Mediation and 5 Substituting a Mandatory Settlement Conference, it is hereby ordered as follows: 6 That the parties are allowed to opt out of the mediation program provided by ADR L.R. 6. 7 In lieu of the mediation program the parties will participate in a mandatory settlement 8 conference pursuant to a Notice of Settlement Conference and Settlement Conference Order 9 previously issued herein dated August 12, 2009. 10 The appointment of Judith L. Teichman as mediator is vacated and the Case Management -11 Conference scheduled for September 16, 2009 at 1:30 in Courtroom C, 15th Floor, U.S. 12 District Court, 240 Golden Gate Avenue, in San Francisco, California is also hereby vacated. 13 14 A new Case Management Conference, to be held after the Settlement Conference of November 4, 2009, is scheduled for 15 11/17 in Courtroom C .located at 450 Golden Gate Ave., 15th Fl. 16 A joint cmc statement shall be filed by 11/10/09. in San Francisco, California. 17 18 19 9/14/09 IT IS SO ORDEREL 20 Dated: 21 22 Edward M. Chen 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATION AND ORDER OPTING OUT OF MEDIATION AND SUBSTITUTING A MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE