

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TIMOTHY MITCHELL, SR., et al.

No. C 09-00794 SI

Plaintiffs,

**ORDER DENYING REQUEST TO  
CONTINUE HEARING**

v.

CITY OF PITTSBURG, et al.,


Defendants.

---

A hearing is scheduled for July 27, 2012 on defendants’ motions to reinstate summary judgment. On July 23, 2012, plaintiffs’ counsel sent a letter to the Court attaching an exhibit that he inadvertantly neglected to file with plaintiffs’ opposition to defendants’ motion, and requesting that the hearing on the pending motions be continued so that defendants “may have plenty of time to consider it and carefully respond.” Dkt. 219. That request to file the exhibit late is GRANTED; the request to continue the hearing is DENIED. If they wish, defendants may file supplemental responses to plaintiffs’ exhibit.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: July 25, 2012

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SUSAN ILLSTON  
United States District Judge

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California