1	Sean P. DeBruine (SBN 168071) ALSTON & BIRD LLP		
2	Two Palo Alto Square		
3	Telephone: 650-838-2000 Facsimile: 650-838-2001 sean.debruine@alston.com  Kirk T. Bradley (applying pro hac vice)		
4			
5			
6			
7	Charlotte, North Carolina 28280-4000		
8	Telephone: (704) 444-1000 Facsimile: (704) 444-1111		
9	Attorneys for Defendant		
	VISTEON CORPORATION		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14		) Case No. 3:09-cv-00804-JCS	
15	NEXT INNOVATION, LLC,		
16	Plaintiff,	) JOINT STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ) ORDER TO EXTEND DEFENDANT'S	
17	v.	TIME TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE	
18	VISTEON CORPORATION,	RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT	
	Defendant.		
19		)	
20			
21	WHEREAS, Plaintiff NEXT INNOVATION, LLC ("Plaintiff") filed its Complaint against		
22	Defendant VISTEON CORPORATION ("Defendant") on February 24, 2009, and served it on		
23	Defendant on March 4, 2009;		
24	WHEREAS, Defendant is currently scheduled to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint		
25	by March 24, 2009;		
26	WHEREAS, there have been no prior stipulations or requests for extension of time to respond to		
27	the Complaint;		
28	WHEREAS, counsel for Defendant recently was retained to represent Defendant in this action,		

1	and Defendant requires additional time to prepare its response to the Complaint; and		
2	WHEREAS, Plaintiff has agreed to extend the time for Defendant to answer or otherwise		
3	respond to the Complaint by thirty (30) days, up to and including April 23, 2009;		
4	NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1, the parties hereby stipulate and agree as		
5	follows:		
6	Defendant Visteon Corporation shall have until April 23, 2009 to answer or otherwise respond to		
7	Plaintiff's Complaint.		
8			
9	DERGOSITS & NOAH LLP Dated: March 20, 2009		
10			
11	By: /s/ Michael E. Dergosits		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff NEXT INNOVATION, LLC		
13			
14			
15			
16	Dated: March 20, 2009 ALSTON + BIRD LLP		
17	Theorem 20, 2007		
18	By:		
19	By: /s/ Sean P. DeBruine		
20	Attorneys for Defendant VISTEON CORPORATION		
21			
22	THE FOREGOING STIPULATION IS APPROVED		
23	AND IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24			
25	DATED: March 23, 2009		
26	UNITED SALES MAGISTRAZE JUDGE		
27	THERN DISTRICT OF CE		

28

## **CERTIFICATION OF CONCURRENCE**

I attest under penalty of perjury and pursuant to General Order No. 45 that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Michael E. Dergosits.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/ Sean P. DeBruine