

1 2. The parties disagree on the scope of permissible discovery allowed under the Order.

2 3. On November 4, 2004, Sun Life filed a motion for a protective order and for
3 clarification as to the proper scope of discovery. That motion is currently set to be heard on
4 December 10, 2004.

5 4. Due to this briefing schedule for this Motion, and Sun Life's preference to have the
6 Motion heard before the production is due and the deposition taken, the parties anticipate that they
7 will be unable to complete discovery by the current deadline of December 17, 2004.

8 5. The parties have agreed to extend the current discovery deadline to January 31, 2005.
9 This extension will enable the parties to complete discovery following the hearing and anticipated
10 ruling on Sun Life's motion. The parties request that the January 6, 2005 and January 12, 2005
11 briefing deadlines, and the January 14, 2005 case management conference date, be continued to
12 dates convenient to the Court in February 2005.

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

November 19, 2004

BARGER & WOLEN LLP

By: /s/ Maria Tahmouresie
J. RUSSELL STEDMAN
MARIA A. TAHMOURESIE
Attorneys for Defendant
SUN LIFE ASSURANCE COMPANY OF
CANADA

November 19, 2004

RONALD DEAN, ESQ.

By: /s/ Ronald Dean
RONALD DEAN
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ELIZABETH WILKERSON

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS ORDERED THAT the discovery deadline in this matter, currently set for December 17, 2004, be continued to January 31, 2005. Wilkerson's supplemental brief on the standard of review must be filed by _____, 2005. Sun Life's response must be filed by _____, 2005. A further case management conference will be held on _____, 2005.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____, 2004.

Honorable Ronald Whyte
Judge of the United States District