non v. Adzilla, In	c [New Media] et al	Doc
	Case3:09-cv-00879-MMC Document41	Filed06/15/09 Page1 of 19
1 2	COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP MICHAEL G. RHODES (116127) (<u>mrhodes@c</u> BEATRIZ MEJIA (190948) (<u>mejiab@cooley.cc</u>	om)
3	GAVIN L. CHARLSTON (253899) (gcharlston 101 California Street, 5th Floor	(@cooley.com)
4	San Francisco, CA 94111-5800 Telephone: (415) 693-2000	
5	Facsimile: (415) 693-2222	
6	Attorneys for Defendant ADZILLA, INC.	
7	(erroneously named herein as "ADZILLA, INC.	[NEW MEDIA] ^(*)
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SUSAN SIMON on individual on babalf of	Case No. 2:00 CV 00970 MMC
11	SUSAN SIMON, an individual on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated,	Case No. 3:09-CV-00879 MMC
12	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT ADZILLA, INC.'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
13	v.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
14	ADZILLA, INC. [NEW MEDIA], a Delaware Corporation; CONDUCIVE	
15	CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; CONTINENTAL VISINET BROADBAND,	
16	INC., a Delaware Corporation; CORE COMMUNICATIONS, INC., d/b/a	
17	CORETEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and JOHN DOES 1-	
18	50, Corporations Defendants,	
19	Defendant.	
20		
21	Defendant Adzilla, Inc. ("Adzilla"), er	roneously named herein as "Adzilla, Inc. [New
22	Media], by its attorneys, for its answer to the	Complaint by Plaintiff Susan Simon, alleges as
23	follows:	
24	NATURE OF	THE ACTION
25	1. Adzilla admits that the Complain	t alleges a class action lawsuit brought by and on
26	behalf of similarly situated internet users. Adzil	la denies that any class and/or subclass may or
27	should be certified in this action. Adzilla denies	the remaining allegations of Paragraph 1 of the
28	Complaint.	
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	1134730 v1/SF 1	DEFENDANT ADZILLA, INC.'S Answer to Complaint Case No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC

	Case3:09-cv-00879-MMC Document41 Filed06/15/09 Page2 of 19
1	2. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint.
2	3. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.
3	4. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint.
4	5. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
5	the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
6	6. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the
7	putative class plaintiff purports to represent, and therefore denies the allegations of Paragraph 6.
8	Adzilla expressly denies that any class and/or subclass may or should be certified in this action.
9	7. Adzilla states that the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint are legal
10	conclusions to which no response is needed, but to the extent a response is required, Adzilla
11	answers as follows: Denied.
12	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
13	8. Adzilla admits that the Complaint alleges that this Court has jurisdiction under 28
14	U.S.C. § 1332.
15	9. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
16	the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
17	10. Adzilla admits that it is incorporated in the state of Delaware and a citizen of
18	Delaware, but denies that its U.S. headquarters is currently located in San Mateo, California and
19	that it is a citizen of California. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a
20	belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint and on that
21	basis denies the same. Adzilla expressly denies that any class and/or subclass may or should be
22	certified in this action.
23	11. Adzilla admits that the Complaint alleges that personal jurisdiction is proper.
24	Adzilla states that the remaining allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint are legal
25	conclusions to which no response is needed, but to the extent a response is required, Adzilla
26	answers as follows: Denied.
27	12. Adzilla admits that if this Court has jurisdiction to hear and adjudicate this matter,
28	then venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c). Adzilla denies that its
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	Defendant Adzilla, Inc.'s1134730 v1/SF2.Defendant ComplaintCase No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC

Case3:09-cv-00879-MMC Document41 Filed06/15/09 Page3 of 19

1	principal executive offices and headquarters are located in this District. Adzilla lacks knowledge					
2	or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph					
3	12 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.					
4	13. Adzilla admits that the Complaint alleges that personal jurisdiction is proper.					
5	Adzilla denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint.					
6	14. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of					
7	the allegations in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.					
8	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT					
9	15. Adzilla denies that its executive offices and headquarters are located in this					
10	District. Adzilla states that the remaining allegations in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint are legal					
11	conclusions to which no response is needed, but to the extent a response is required, Adzilla					
12	answers as follows: Denied.					
13	PARTIES					
14	16. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of					
15	the allegations in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.					
16	17. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of					
17	the allegations in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.					
18	18. Adzilla denies that its headquarters are maintained in Brisbane, California, and that					
19	it does business throughout the United States, and in particular, does business in the State of					
20	California and in San Mateo, San Francisco, or Alameda County. Adzilla admits that it was					
21	acquired by Conducive Corporation as of May 3, 2006.					
22	19. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of					
23	the allegations in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.					
24	20. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of					
25	the allegations in Paragraph 20 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.					
26	21. Adzilla admits that it issued the press release referenced in Paragraph 21, and					
27	states that the press release speaks for itself. Adzilla denies the remaining allegations in					
28	Paragraph 21 of the Complaint.					
.RD AW	Defendant Adzilla, Inc.'s1134730 v1/SF3.Answer to Complaint Case No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC					

Case3:09-cv-00879-MMC Document41 Filed06/15/09 Page4 of 19

1					
1	22.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient	ent to form a belief as to the truth of		
2	the allegation	the allegations, or the accuracy of the reproduced materials, in Paragraph 22 of the Complaint and			
3	on that basis denies the same.				
4	23.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient	ent to form a belief as to the truth of		
5	the allegation	s in Paragraph 23 of the Complaint and on that ba	asis denies the same.		
6	24.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient	ent to form a belief as to the truth of		
7	the allegation	s in Paragraph 24 of the Complaint and on that ba	asis denies the same.		
8		FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS			
9	25.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 25 c	of the Complaint.		
10	26.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 26 c	of the Complaint.		
11	27.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient	ent to form a belief as to the truth of		
12	the allegation	s in Paragraph 27 of the Complaint and on that be	asis denies the same.		
13	28.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient	ent to form a belief as to the truth of		
14	the allegation	s in Paragraph 28 of the Complaint and on that ba	asis denies the same.		
15	29.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient	ent to form a belief about Mr. Ohm,		
16	his title, and l	nis purported observations, as set forth in Paragra	ph 29 of the Complaint, and on that		
17	basis denies t	he allegations contained therein.			
18	30.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 30 c	of the Complaint.		
19	31.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient	ent to form a belief as to the truth of		
20	the allegation	s in Paragraph 31 of the Complaint and on that ba	asis denies the same.		
21	32.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient	ent to form a belief as to the truth of		
22	the allegation	s in Paragraph 32 of the Complaint and on that ba	asis denies the same.		
23	33.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficie	ent to form a belief as to the truth of		
24	the allegation	s in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint and on that ba	asis denies the same.		
25	34.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficie	ent to form a belief as to the truth of		
26	the allegation	s in Paragraph 34 of the Complaint and on that ba	asis denies the same.		
27	35.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficie	ent to form a belief as to the truth of		
28	the allegation	s in Paragraph 35 of the Complaint and on that ba	asis denies the same.		
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	1134730 v1/SF	4.	DEFENDANT ADZILLA, INC.'S Answer to Complaint Case No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC		

Case3:09-cv-00879-MMC Document41 Filed06/15/09 Page5 of 19

1	36. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
2	the allegations in Paragraph 36 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
3	37. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
4	the allegations in Paragraph 37 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
5	38. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
6	the allegations in Paragraph 38 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
7	39. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
8	the allegations in Paragraph 39 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
9	40. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
10	the allegations in Paragraph 40 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
11	41. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
12	the allegations in Paragraph 41 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
13	42. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
14	the allegations in Paragraph 42 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
15	43. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
16	the allegations in Paragraph 43 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
17	44. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
18	the allegations in Paragraph 44 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
19	45. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
20	the allegations in Paragraph 45 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
21	46. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
22	the allegations in Paragraph 46 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
23	47. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
24	the allegations in Paragraph 47 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
25	48. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
26	the allegations in Paragraph 48 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
27	49. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
28	the allegations in Paragraph 49 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	Defendant Adzilla, Inc.'s1134730 v1/SF5.Defendant Adzilla, Inc.'sAnswer to ComplaintCase No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC

Case3:09-cv-00879-MMC Document41 Filed06/15/09 Page6 of 19

1	50.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or inform	ation sufficient to f	orm a belief as to the truth of
2	the allegation	s in Paragraph 50 of the Complaint a	and on that basis de	nies the same.
3	51.	51. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of		
4	the allegation	s in Paragraph 51 of the Complaint a	and on that basis de	nies the same.
5	52.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or inform	ation sufficient to f	orm a belief as to the
6	accuracy of the	ne statements reproduced in Paragrap	oh 52 of the Compla	int, and on that basis denies
7	the same.			
8	53.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Pa	ragraph 53 of the C	Complaint.
9	54.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or inform	ation sufficient to f	orm a belief as to the
10	accuracy of the	ne materials reproduced in Paragraph	54 of the Complai	nt, and on that basis denies
11	the same.			
12	55.	Adzilla denies the allegations set for	orth in Paragraph 5:	5 of the Complaint.
13	56.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or inform	ation sufficient to f	orm a belief as to the
14	allegations in	Paragraph 56 of the Complaint and	on that basis denies	the same.
15	57.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Pa	ragraph 57 of the C	Complaint.
16	58.	58. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 58 of the Complaint.		Complaint.
17	59.	59. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the		
18	allegations in	allegations in Paragraph 59 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.		
19	60.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Pa	ragraph 60 of the C	Complaint.
20	61.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Pa	ragraph 61 of the C	Complaint.
21	62.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Pa	ragraph 62 of the C	Complaint. Adzilla further
22	maintains tha	maintains that certain statements in Paragraph 62 of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which		
23	no response i	s needed, but to the extent a response	e is required, Adzill	a answers as follows:
24	Denied.			
25	63.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Pa	ragraph 63 of the C	Complaint.
26	64.	Adzilla admits that it issued the pro-	ess release reference	ed in Paragraph 64, and
27	states that the	press release speaks for itself. Adz	lla lacks knowledg	e and information regarding
28	the accuracy	of statements reproduced in Paragrap	oh 64 of the Compla	
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	1134730 v1/SF	б.		DEFENDANT ADZILLA, INC.'S Answer to Complaint Case No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC

Case3:09-cv-00879-MMC Document41 Filed06/15/09 Page7 of 19

1	remaining allegations in Paragraph 64 of the Complaint.			
2	65.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the		
3	allegations in Paragraph 65 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.			
4	66.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the		
5	allegations in	Paragraph 66 of the Complaint and on that basis den	ies the same.	
6	67.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient t	o form a belief as to the	
7	allegations in	Paragraph 67 of the Complaint and on that basis den	ies the same.	
8	68.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient t	o form a belief as to the	
9	allegations in	Paragraph 68 of the Complaint and on that basis den	ies the same.	
10	69.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient t	o form a belief as to the	
11	allegations in	Paragraph 69 of the Complaint and on that basis den	ies the same.	
12	70.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient t	o form a belief as to the	
13	allegations in	Paragraph 70 of the Complaint and on that basis den	ies the same.	
14	71.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the		
15	allegations in	Paragraph 71 of the Complaint and on that basis den	ies the same.	
16	72.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the		
17	allegations in	allegations in Paragraph 72 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.		
18	73.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 73 of th	e Complaint.	
19	74.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 74 of th	e Complaint.	
20	75.	Adzilla admits that its headquarters were located in	California during the time	
21	periods relev	periods relevant to the allegations set forth in the Complaint. Adzilla denies the remaining		
22	allegations in	Paragraph 75 of the Complaint.		
23	76.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 76 of th	e Complaint.	
24	77.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 76 of th	e Complaint.	
25	78.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 78 of th	e Complaint.	
26	79.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the		
27	allegations in	Paragraph 79 of the Complaint and on that basis den	ies the same.	
28	80.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient t	o form a belief as to the	
Cooley Godward Kronish LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	1134730 v1/SF	7.	Defendant Adzilla, Ind Answer to Compla Case No. 3:09-cv-00879 MM	

Case3:09-cv-00879-MMC Document41 Filed06/15/09 Page8 of 19

1	allegations in	Paragraph 80 of the Complaint and on that basis	denies the same.	
2	81.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 81 o	f the Complaint.	
3	82.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 82 o	f the Complaint.	
4	83.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficie	nt to form a belief as to the	
5	accuracy of a	ny reproduced statements and the allegations cont	ained in Paragraph 83 of the	
6	Complaint ar	aint and on that basis denies the same.		
7	84.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficie	nt to form a belief as to the	
8	allegations in	Paragraph 84 of the Complaint and on that basis	denies the same.	
9	85.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficie	nt to form a belief as to the	
10	allegations in	Paragraph 85 of the Complaint and on that basis	denies the same.	
11	86.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficie	nt to form a belief as to the	
12	allegations in	Paragraph 86 of the Complaint and on that basis	denies the same.	
13	87.	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficie	nt to form a belief as to the	
14	allegations in	Paragraph 87 of the Complaint and on that basis	denies the same.	
15	88.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 88 o	f the Complaint.	
16		CLASS ALLEGATIONS		
17	89.	Adzilla denies that any class /andor subclass ma	y or should be certified in this	
18	action.			
19	90.	Adzilla denies that any class and/or subclass ma	y or should be certified in this	
20	action.			
21	91.	Adzilla states that the allegations in Paragraph 9	1 of the Complaint are legal	
22	conclusions t	conclusions to which no response is needed, but to the extent a response is required, Adzilla		
23	answers as fo	llows: Denied.		
24	92.	Adzilla admits that plaintiff purports to bring the	is putative class action pursuant to	
25	Fed. R. Civ.	P. 23. Adzilla denies that any classes and/or subcl	asses may or should be certified in	
26	this action. A	Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient	to form a belief as to the remaining	
27	allegations in	Paragraph 92 of the Complaint and on that basis	denies the same.	
28	93.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 93 o	-	
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	1134730 v1/SF	8.	DEFENDANT ADZILLA, INC.'S Answer to Complaint Case No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC	

	Case3:09	-cv-00879-MMC	Document41	Filed06/15/09	Page9 of 19
1	94.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 94 of the	e Complaint.
2	95.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 95 and a	ll subparts to Paragraph 95 of
3	the Complain	t.			
4	96.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 96 of the	e Complaint.
5	97.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 97 of the	e Complaint.
6	98.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 98 of the	e Complaint.
7	99.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 99 of the	e Complaint.
8	100.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 100 of t	he Complaint.
9	101.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 101 of t	he Complaint.
10	102.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 102 of t	he Complaint.
11	103.	Adzilla lacks kno	owledge or inform	nation sufficient to	o form a belief as to the
12	allegations se	t forth in Paragrap	h 103 of the Con	plaint and on that	basis denies the same.
13	104.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 104 of t	he Complaint.
14			Сот	JNT I	
15	105.	In response to Pa	aragraph 105 of tl	ne Complaint, Adz	zilla incorporates by reference
16	its responses	to Paragraphs 1 th	rough 104 above	as if fully set forth	n herein.
17	106.	Adzilla lacks kno	owledge or inform	nation sufficient to	o form a belief as to the
18	allegations se	t forth in Paragrap	h 106 of the Com	plaint and on that	basis denies the same.
19	107.	Adzilla lacks kn	owledge or inform	nation sufficient to	o form a belief as to the
20	allegations se	t forth in Paragrap	h 107 of the Con	plaint and on that	basis denies the same.
21	108.	Adzilla admits th	ne existence of th	e statute cited in F	aragraph 108.
22	109.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 109 of t	he Complaint.
23	110.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 110 of t	he Complaint.
24	111.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 111 of t	he Complaint.
25	112.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 112 of t	he Complaint.
26	113.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 112 of t	he Complaint.
27	114.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 114 of t	he Complaint.
28	115.	Adzilla denies th	ne allegations in F	Paragraph 115 of t	he Complaint.
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP	1134730 v1/SF		9		DEFENDANT ADZILLA, INC.'S Answer to Complaint

ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

1	116.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 116 o	f the Complaint.			
2	117.	117. Adzilla denies any and all liability for this cause of action. Adzilla lacks				
3	knowledge or	knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining allegations set forth in				
4	Paragraph 11'	7 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the san	ne.			
5	118.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 118 o	f the Complaint.			
6		COUNT II				
7	119.	In response to Paragraph 119 of the Complaint, A	Adzilla incorporates by reference			
8	its responses	to Paragraphs 1 through 118 above as if fully set for	orth herein.			
9	120.	Adzilla states that the allegations in Paragraph 12	20 of the Complaint are legal			
10	conclusions to	which no response is needed, but to the extent a r	esponse is required, Adzilla			
11	answers as fo	llows: Denied.				
12	121.	Adzilla states that the allegations in Paragraph 12	21 of the Complaint are legal			
13	conclusions to	which no response is needed, but to the extent a r	esponse is required, Adzilla			
14	answers as fo	llows: Denied.				
15	122.	Adzilla admits the existence of the statute cited in	n Paragraph 122 of the Complaint.			
16	123.	Adzilla admits the existence of the statute cited in Paragraph 123 of the Complaint.				
17	124.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 124 of the Complaint.				
18	125.	. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 125 of the Complaint.				
19	126.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 126 o	f the Complaint.			
20	127.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 127 o	f the Complaint.			
21	128.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 128 o	f the Complaint.			
22	129.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 129 o	f the Complaint.			
23	130.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 130 o	f the Complaint.			
24	131.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 131 o	f the Complaint.			
25	132.	Adzilla denies any and all laibility for this cause	of action. Adzilla lacks			
26	knowledge or	information sufficient to form a belief as to the real	maining allegations in Paragraph			
27	132 of the Co	mplaint and on that basis denies the same.				
28	133.	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 133 o	-			
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP	1134730 v1/SF	10.	DEFENDANT ADZILLA, INC.'S Answer to Complaint			

1	COUNT III		
2	134. In response to Paragraph 134 of the Complaint, Adzilla incorporates by reference		
3	its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 133 above as if fully set forth herein.		
4	135. Adzilla states that the allegations in Paragraph 135 of the Complaint are legal		
5	conclusions to which no response is needed, but to the extent a response is required, Adzilla		
6	answers as follows: Denied.		
7	136. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 136 of the Complaint.		
8	137. Adzilla admits the existence of the statute cited in Paragraph 137 of the Complaint.		
9	138. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 138 of the Complaint.		
10	139. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 139 of the Complaint.		
11	140. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 140 of the Complaint.		
12	141. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 141 of the Complaint.		
13	142. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 142 of the Complaint.		
14	143. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 143 of the Complaint.		
15	144. Adzilla admits the existence of the statute cited in Paragraph 144 of the Complaint.		
16	145. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 145 of the Complaint.		
17	146. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 146 of the Complaint, and specifically		
18	that plaintiff is entitled to reimbursement of its attorneys' fees.		
19	147. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the		
20	allegations set forth in Paragraph 147 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.		
21	COUNT IV		
22	148. In response to Paragraph 148 of the Complaint, Adzilla incorporates by reference		
23	its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 147 above as if fully set forth herein.		
24	149. Adzilla states that the allegations in Paragraph 149 of the Complaint are legal		
25	conclusions to which no response is needed, but to the extent a response is required, Adzilla		
26	answers as follows: Denied.		
27	150. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the		
28	allegations set forth in Paragraph 150 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.		
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	Defendant Adzilla, Inc.'s1134730 v1/SF11.Answer to Complaint Case No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC		

	Case3:09-cv-00879-MMC Document41 Filed06/15/09 Page12 of 19
1	151. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 151 of the Complaint.
2	152. Adzilla denies the allegations in Pargraph 152 of the Complaint.
3	153. Adzilla denies that any data was collected by the Zillacaster. Adzilla lacks
4	knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining allegations set forth in
5	Paragraph 153 of the Complaint, and on that basis denies the same.
6	154. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 154 of the Complaint.
7	155. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 155 of the Complaint.
8	156. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 156 of the Complaint.
9	157. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 157 of the Complaint.
10	158. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 158 of the Complaint.
11	COUNT V
12	159. In response to Paragraph 159 of the Complaint, Adzilla incorporates by reference
13	its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 158 above as if fully set forth herein.
14	160. Adzilla states that the allegations in Paragraph 160 of the Complaint are legal
15	conclusions to which no response is needed, but to the extent a response is required, Adzilla
16	answers as follows: Denied.
17	161. Adzilla admits the existence of the statute cited in Paragraph 161 of the Complaint.
18	162. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
19	allegations set forth in Paragraph 162 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
20	163. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
21	allegations set forth in Paragraph 163 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
22	164. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
23	allegations set forth in Paragraph 164 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
24	165. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
25	allegations set forth in Paragraph 165 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
26	166. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 166 of the Complaint.
27	167. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
28	allegations set forth in Paragraph 167 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	Defendant Adzilla, Inc.'s1134730 v1/SF12.12.Answer to Complaint Case No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC

1	168. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the				
2	allegations set forth in Paragraph 168 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.				
3	169. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the				
4	allegations set forth in Paragraph 169 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.				
5	170. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the				
6	allegations set forth in Paragraph 170 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.				
7	171. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 171 of the Complaint.				
8	172. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 172 of the Complaint.				
9	COUNT VI				
10	173. In response to Paragraph 173 of the Complaint, Adzilla incorporates by reference				
11	its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 172 above as if fully set forth herein.				
12	174. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 174 as they may pertain to Adzilla's				
13	conduct. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining				
14	allegations set forth in Paragraph 174 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.				
15	175. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 175 as they may pertain to Adzilla's				
16	conduct. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining				
17	allegations set forth in Paragraph 175 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.				
18	176. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 176 as they may pertain to Adzilla's				
19	conduct. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining				
20	allegations set forth in Paragraph 176 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.				
21	177. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 177 as they may pertain to Adzilla's				
22	conduct. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining				
23	allegations set forth in Paragraph 177 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.				
24	178. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 178 as they may pertain to Adzilla's				
25	conduct. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining				
26	allegations set forth in Paragraph 178 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.				
27	179. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 179 of the Complaint.				
28	180. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the				
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO	Defendant Adzilla, Inc.'s 1134730 v1/SF 13. Answer to Complaint Case No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC				

1	allegations set forth in Paragraph 180 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.					
2	COUNT VII					
3	181. In response to Paragraph 181 of the Complaint, Adzilla incorporates by reference					
4	its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 180 above as if fully set forth herein.					
5	182. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 182 as they may pertain to Adzilla's					
6	conduct. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining					
7	allegations set forth in Paragraph 182 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.					
8	183. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 183	183. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 183 as they may pertain to Adzilla's				
9	conduct. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining					
10	allegations set forth in Paragraph 183 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.					
11	184. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 184	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 184 of the Complaint.				
12	185. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 185	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 185 of the Complaint.				
13	186. Adzilla lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the					
14	allegations set forth in Paragraph 186 of the Complaint and on that basis denies the same.					
15	COUNT VIII					
16	187. In response to Paragraph 187 of the Complaint	t, Adzilla incorporates by reference				
17	its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 186 above as if fully set forth herein.					
18	188. Adzilla states that the allegations in Paragraph 188 of the Complaint are legal					
19	conclusions to which no response is needed, but to the extent a response is required, Adzilla					
20	answers as follows: Denied.					
21	189. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 189	Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 189 of the Complaint.				
22	190. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 190	00. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 190 of the Complaint.				
23	191. Adzilla denies the allegations in Paragraph 192	1 of the Complaint.				
24						
25						
26	///					
27	///					
28	///					
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	1134730 v1/SF 14.	DEFENDANT ADZILLA, INC.'S Answer to Complaint Case No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC				

	Case3:09-cv-00879-MMC Document41 Filed06/15/09 Page15 of 19					
1	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES					
2	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
3	(Failure to State a Claim)					
4	The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.					
5						
6	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
7	(Attorney's Fees and Costs Unavailable)					
8	The Complaint fails to allege facts sufficient to meet the statutory requirements for					
9	attorney's fees.					
10						
11	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
12	(Lack of Standing)					
13	Plaintiff and the putative class members lack standing to assert one or more of the claims					
14	alleged in the Complaint.					
15						
16	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
17	(Ordinary Course of Business Exemption)					
18	The Complaint alleges conduct falling within the ordinary course of business exemption					
19	under federal and state law.					
20						
21	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
22	(Waiver/Estoppel)					
23	Plaintiff and the putative class members consented to the conduct alleged in the					
24	Complaint, and thus have waived any right to assert their claims and are estopped from asserting					
25	their claims.					
26						
27						
28 Cooley Godward	/// Defendant Adzilla, Inc.'s					
KRONISH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	1134730 v1/SF 15. ANSWER TO COMPLAINT CASE NO. 3:09-CV-00879 MMC					

	Case3:09-cv-00879-MMC Document41 Filed06/15/09 Page16 of 19					
1	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
2	(Due Process)					
3	The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, by the Due process					
4	clauses of the United States Constitution and California Constitution, to the extent they seek					
5	certification of the purported class on the basis of statutory damages alone, where no other					
6	injuries or economic losses were sustained.					
7						
8	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
9	(Preemption)					
10	The claims asserted in the Complaint are preempted, in whole or in part, by federal law					
11	including but not limited to 18 U.S.C. § 2510, et seq.					
12						
13	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
14	(Interstate and Foreign Commerce)					
15	The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, by the Commerce					
16	Clause of the United States Constitution, to the extent they seek to discriminate against interstate					
17	and foreign commerce by imposing impermissible burdens on the same and regulating matters					
18	occurring in states other than California.					
19						
20	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
21	(Laches)					
22	The equitable claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, by the					
23	doctrine of laches.					
24						
25	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
26	(Consent)					
27	The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, by plaintiff's consent					
28	to, notification of, ratification of, and/or acceptance of the challenged conduct.					
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	Defendant Adzilla, Inc.'s1134730 v1/SF16.Answer to Complaint Case No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC					

KRONISH L ATTORNEYS AT SAN FRANCISCO

	Case3:09-cv-00879-MMC Document41 Filed06/15/09 Page17 of 19					
1	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
2	(Failure to Timely Notify)					
3	The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, by plaintiff's failure					
4	to provide her ISP with timely notice of her desire to opt-out.					
5						
6	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
7	(Vicarious Liability Unavailable)					
8	The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because Adzilla					
9	cannot be held vicariously liable for the alleged wrongful acts of others, including the other					
10	defendants.					
11						
12	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
13	(Necessary Incident)					
14	The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, by the "necessary					
15	incident" exception set forth at 18 U.S.C. § 2511(2)(a)(i).					
16						
17	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
18	(Good Faith)					
19	The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, by the "good faith					
20	reliance" defense set forth at 18 U.S.C. § 2520(d).					
21						
22	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
23	(Mootness)					
24	The asserted claims are barred, in whole or in part, because they are moot.					
25						
26	///					
27	///					
28	///					
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	DEFENDANT ADZILLA, INC.'S 1134730 v1/SF 17. Answer to Complaint Case No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC					

	Case3:09-cv-00879-MMC Document41 Filed06/15/09 Page18 of 19					
1	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
2	(Public Utility Exception)					
3	The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, by the public utility					
4	exception under Cal. Penal Code § 631(b).					
5						
6	RESERVATION OF ADDITIONAL AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES					
7	Adzilla reserves the right to assert any and all affirmative defenses and/or additional					
8	defenses that may appear or become available during the discovery or other proceedings in this					
9	case and hereby reserves the right to amend its Answer to assert any such defenses.					
10						
11	PRAYER FOR RELIEF					
12	WHEREFORE, Defendant Adzilla, Inc. denies that plaintiff is entitled to certification of					
13	any class, and denies that plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in her Prayer for Relief,					
14	either on her own behalf or on behalf of a putative class. Adzilla requests judgment as follows:					
15	(a) Dismissal of the Complaint with prejudice and/or entry of judgment in favor of					
16	Adzilla;					
17	(b) An award of its costs of suit and reasonable attorneys' fees; and					
18	(c) Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	///					
27	///					
28	///					
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	Defendant Adzilla, Inc.'s1134730 v1/SF18.Answer to Complaint Case No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC					

	Case3:09-cv-00879-MMC	Document41	Filed06/15/09	Page19 of 19		
1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
2	Adzilla hereby demands	a jury trial for al	ll applicable claim	S.		
3	Dated: June 15, 2009 COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP					
4		M	ICHAEL G. RHO EATRIZ MEJIA (DES (116127)		
5		GA	AVIN L. CHARL	STON (253899)		
6		/s/	Beatriz Mejia			
7		Be	eatriz Mejia torneys for Defen	dant		
8 9		AI	DZILLA, INC.			
9 10						
10						
11						
12						
13						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	1134730 v1/SF	19).	DEFENDANT ADZILLA, INC.'S Answer to Complaint Case No. 3:09-cv-00879 MMC		