1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ALEKSANDR L. YUFA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LIGHTHOUSE WORLDWIDE SOLUTIONS INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 09-cv-00968-TSH

NOTICE OF SUA SPONTE INTENTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Aleksander L. Yufa filed this case on March 5, 2009, alleging Defendant Lighthouse Worldwide Solutions, Inc. infringed upon his patent for particle detectors and reference voltage comparators, Patent No. 6,346,983. The case has been stayed since January 9, 2015 pending resolution of four of Yufa's other cases involving the '983 Patent. ECF No. 136. However, on September 12, 2019, Yufa filed a Notice of Change of Ownership of Patent at Issue, stating that he filed the assignment of the '983 Patent in compliance with Magistrate Judge Kandis Westmore's order in Yufa v. TSI Incorp., C-09-1315, and therefore "doesn't own anymore the patent at issue." ECF No. 137.

"A trial court may dismiss a claim sua sponte under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)." Seismic Reservoir 2020, Inc. v. Paulsson, 785 F.3d 330, 335 (9th Cir. 2015) (quoting Omar v. Sea-Land Serv., Inc., 813 F.2d 986, 991 (9th Cir. 1987)). The district court "must give notice of its sua sponte intention to dismiss and provide the plaintiff with 'an opportunity to at least submit a written memorandum in opposition to such motion." *Id.* (quoting Wong v. Bell, 642 F.2d 359, 362 (9th Cir. 1981)). However, a court may properly dismiss an action sua sponte without giving a plaintiff notice of its intention to dismiss and an opportunity to respond if a plaintiff "cannot possibly win relief." Sparling v. Hoffman Construction Co., 864 F.2d 635, 638 (9th Cir. 1988);

United States District Court Northern District of California

	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	/

1

2

3

4

5

6

Omar, 813 F.2d at 991; Wong, 642 F.2d at 362.

Here, Yufa admits he doesn't own the '983 Patent. A complaint for patent infringement requires that the plaintiff owns the patent. *K-Tech Telecomms., Inc. v. Time Warner Cable, Inc.*, 714 F.3d 1277, 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2013). As such, it appears that Yufa has no standing to sue and dismissal is appropriate. Accordingly, the Court hereby **GIVES NOTICE** to Yufa of its intention to dismiss the complaint sua sponte without leave to amend. Yufa may file a written memorandum in opposition to dismissal by November 26, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 12, 2019

THOMAS S. HIXSON United States Magistrate Judge