I

1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	Northern District of California
6	
7	ALEKSANDR L. YUFA,
8	Plaintiff, v. ORDER RE: STATUS
9 10	LIGHTHOUSE WORLDWIDE SOLUTIONS,
11	Defendant.
12	/
13	The PTO, having rejected claims 1, 3-5 during reexamination and the Board os Patent
14	Appeals and Interferences, and the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit having affirmed that
15	rejection, Plaintiff's last option is filing a petition for cert before the United States Supreme Court
16	which he must have done within 90 days of February 8, 2012. Accordingly, Plaintiff shall serve a
17	copy of his cert petition on Defendant's counsel and the Court by August 9, 2012. If no petition has
18	been filed, the stay will be lifted and Defendant may proceed to file a motion to dismiss. If a
19	petition has filed, the stay will remain in effect until the Supreme Court acts on the petition – a grant
20	will result in a continued stay and a denial will result in the stay being lifted
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	nn
23	Dated: July 23, 2012
24	Maria-Elena James Chief United States Magistrate Judge
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Dockete Justia com