Sorci v. Hecker et al

Doc. 12

1

2

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

242526

28

27

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN J SORCI, individually and as No C-09-996 VRW trustee under the FIRST AMENDED AND COMPLETE RESTATEMENT OF THE ORDER JOHN R SORCI TRUST created

Plaintiff,

v

04/24/02, dated 02/09/07,

DENNIS E HECKER, aka DENNIS HECKER, aka DENNY HECKER, AUTOCAL, LLC, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,

Defendant.

Charles S Yesnick of the law firm Prindle, Decker & Amaro ("Prindle") and Timothy R Thornton of the law firm Briggs and Morgan, P A ("Briggs") are attorneys of record for defendant Dennis Hecker. Doc #4 at 2. Briggs moved to withdraw as counsel for Hecker on the ground that Hecker is unable to pay outstanding legal fees owed to Briggs. Doc #9. Thornton submitted an affidavit stating that Briggs has requested payment from Hecker of

outstanding invoices for legal fees and Hecker has not paid the outstanding fees. Doc #9. Thornton also stated that Hecker consents to Briggs' withdrawal. Id at 7.

Briggs' motion does not mention the involvement of the other counsel of record, Yesnick of Prindle, though the submitted proposed order requests the withdrawal of Prindle as well. Doc #11. Briggs' submissions also do not include the unpaid invoices or a statement from Hecker that he is unable to pay the invoices or that he consents to withdrawal. Accordingly, Briggs is DIRECTED to substantiate that there remain past due invoices to both Briggs and Prindle and that Thornton either cannot pay these past due invoices or consents to withdrawal. The court will continue to require withdrawing counsel to receive electronic filings in this case on behalf of Hecker until Hecker obtains new counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Mulch

VAUGHN R WALKER United States District Chief Judge