

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 FREDERICK JACKSON, ASHLEY NICOLE
11 JACKSON, a minor, BRIANA FREDRANIQUE
12 ANNETTE JACKSON, a minor, and SHAWN
YVETTE MARTIN,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 CITY OF PITTSBURG, AARON L. BAKER,
16 individually and in his official capacity as Chief of
Police of the City of Pittsburg Police Department,
17 G. LOMBARDI, individually and as an officer of the
City of Pittsburg Police Department (Badge # 275),
18 C. SMITH, individually and as an officer of the
City of Pittsburg Police Department (Badge # 285),
19 P. DUMPA, individually and as an officer of the
City of Pittsburg Police Department (Bade # 291),
20 WILLIAM BLAKE HATCHER, individually and as
an officer of the City of Pittsburg Police Department
(Badge # 274), and DOES 1–100, inclusive,

21 Defendants.
22 _____/

No. C 09-01016 WHA


**ORDER RE FINAL
PRETRIAL
CONFERENCE**

23 Did defense filed an excessive number of motions in limine? The Court's guidelines
24 advise that usually no more than four or five motions in limine need to be resolved prior to the
25 opening statements and the balance can be resolved as the trial proceeds. This is because in
26 actual trial practice, many supposed motions in limine fall away as unnecessary given the way the
27 case is actually tried. Therefore, prior to tomorrow's final pretrial conference, defendants must
28

1 select six of their motions in limine to be heard at the final pretrial conference with the remainder
2 to be presented and decided as the trial progresses.

3
4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 Dated: July 6, 2010.

7 
8 _____
9 WILLIAM ALSUP
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28