FREDERICK JACKSON, ASHLEY NICOLE
JACKSON, and BRIANA FREDRANIQUE ANNETTE JACKSON,

Plaintiffs,
No. C 09-01016 WHA
v.

GERALD VINCENT LOMBARDI, individually and as an officer of the City of Pittsburg Police
Department (Badge \# 275), CORY LEE SMITH, individually and as an officer of the City of Pittsburg Police Department (Badge \# 285),
SANKARA REDDY DUMPA, individually and as
an officer of the City of Pittsburg Police
Department (Badge \# 291), WILLIAM BLAKE
HATCHER, individually and as an officer of the City of Pittsburg Police Department (Badge \# 274),

Defendants.
$\qquad$ 1

Defendants have filed a motion styled as a motion to alter or amend judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e). This motion is DENIED. It is basically a repeat of the earlier renewed motion for judgment as a matter of law. Again, any problem with the special verdict form should have been raised by counsel prior to the jury verdict, not afterward. The hearing noticed for December 9, 2010, is Vacated.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 26, 2010.

## ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
United States District Court
For the Northern District of California12

