Bush v. Flore	9S I		
1			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	NOT FOR CITATION		
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	JAMES ALAN BUSH,)	No. C 09-01024 JF (PR)
14	Plaintiff,	Ź	ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANT TO FILE
15	VS.	(DISPOSITIVE MOTION OR NOTICE REGARDING SUCH
16	OFFICER FLORES, et al.,)	MOTION
17	Defendants.	j	
18		_	
19	Plaintiff, a California prisoner proceeding pro se, filed the instant civil rights		
20	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendant Officer Flores of the San Jose		
21	Police Department. Plaintiff was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis. (Docket		
22	No. 6.)		
23	DISCUSSION		
24	A. Standard of Review		
25	A federal court must conduct a preliminary screening in any case in which a		
26	prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a		
27	governmental entity. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the court must identify		
28	any cognizable claims and dismiss any claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a		
	Order Directing D to file Disp. Motion P:\PRO-SE\SJ.JF\CR.09\Bush01024_svc.wpd	1	

Doc. 10

1 | cl 2 | ir 3 | li

4 | 1988

claim upon which relief may be granted or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. See id. § 1915A(b)(1),(2). Pro se pleadings must, however, be liberally construed. See Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. See West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

B. Plaintiff's Claims

Plaintiff claims that his Eighth Amendment right against cruel and unusual punishment was violated when Defendant Officer Flores used excessive force on December 12, 2009, during the course of Plaintiff's arrest. (Compl. at 8.) Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Flores used his tazer on Plaintiff, causing him to fall to the ground. After handcuffing him, Defendant Flores caused a bone in Plaintiff's left shoulder to break by yanking Plaintiff's left arm "with more speed and force than necessary to lift Plaintiff to his feet, causing a bone in Plaintiff's shoulder to snap cleanly into two segments." (Id. at 9.) Plaintiff's alleges that just before Defendant brought him to his feet, Plaintiff warned him about a prior injury to his left shoulder to which Defendant responded, "I don't give a fuck about your busted-ass shoulders!" (Id.) Plaintiff claims that Defendant's actions were "willful and malicious." (Id. at 11.) Liberally construed, Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim is cognizable under § 1983.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Court orders as follows:

1. The Court notes that service of the complaint and summons has already been issued by the Clerk to Defendant Officer Flores at the San Jose Police Department. (See Docket No. 7.) The Clerk shall send a copy of this order to Defendant Flores as well as a copy of Plaintiff's request for production of documents (Docket No. 8) and

1 interrogatories (Docket No. 9).

Plaintiff shall send all further discovery requests directly to Defendant or Defendant's counsel.

- 2. No later than **sixty** (**60**) **days** from the date of this order, Defendant shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion with respect to the claims in the complaint found to be cognizable above, or, within such time, notify the Court that Defendant is of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by such a motion.
- a. If Defendant elects to file a motion to dismiss on the grounds that Plaintiff failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), Defendant shall do so in an unenumerated Rule 12(b) motion pursuant to Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1119-20 (9th Cir. 2003), cert. denied Alameida v. Terhune, 540 U.S. 810 (2003).
- b. Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate factual documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Defendant is advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If Defendant is of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, he shall so inform the Court prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.
- 3. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the Court and served on Defendant no later than **thirty** (30) **days** from the date Defendant's motion is filed.
- a. In the event Defendant files an unenumerated motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b), Plaintiff is hereby cautioned as follows:¹

The Defendants have made a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on the ground you have not exhausted your administrative remedies. The motion will, if granted, result in the dismissal of your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion

Order Directing D to file Disp. Motion P:\PRO-SE\SJ.JF\CR.09\Bush01024_svc.wpd

¹ The following notice is adapted from the summary judgment notice to be given to pro se prisoners as set forth in <u>Rand v. Rowland</u>, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). <u>See Wyatt v. Terhune</u>, 315 F.3d at 1120 n.14.

to dismiss for failure to exhaust, and that motion is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony) and/or documents, you may not simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or documents, that contradict the facts shown in the Defendant's declarations and documents and show that you have in fact exhausted your claims. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, the motion to dismiss, if appropriate, may be granted and the case dismissed.

b. In the event Defendant files a motion for summary judgment, the Ninth Circuit has held that the following notice should be given to Plaintiffs:

The defendants have made a motion for summary judgment by which they seek to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact--that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendants' declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted in favor of defendants, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

See Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). Plaintiff is advised to read Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317 (1986) (holding party opposing summary judgment must come forward with evidence showing triable issues of material fact on every essential element of his claim). Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to file an opposition to Defendant's motion for summary judgment may be deemed to be a consent by Plaintiff to the granting of the motion, and granting of judgment against plaintiff without a trial. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam); Brydges v. Lewis, 18 F.3d 651, 653 (9th Cir. 1994).

4. Defendant <u>shall</u> file a reply brief no later than **fifteen (15) days** after Plaintiff's opposition is filed.

- 5. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date.
- 6. All communications by the Plaintiff with the Court must be served on Defendant, or Defendant's counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the document to Defendant or Defendant's counsel.
- 7. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. No further Court order is required before the parties may conduct discovery.
- 8. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the Court informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _______

JEREMY FOGEL United States Listrict Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES A. BUSH,	Case Number: CV09-01024 JF	
Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
v.		
OFFICER FLORES,		
Defendant.		
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I a Court, Northern District of California.	am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District	
attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a p	, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) relope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into d in the Clerk's office.	
James Alan Bush DWF967-08086698 Santa Clara County Jail 885 N. San Pedro Avenue San Jose, CA 95110		
Dated:	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk	