

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SOUTHWEST TECHNOLOGY
INNOVATIONS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SYMANTEC CORPORATION,

Defendant

No. C-09-1063 MMC

**ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANT TO
SUBMIT CHAMBERS COPY OF
DOCUMENTS IN COMPLIANCE WITH
GENERAL ORDER 45 AND THE
COURT'S STANDING ORDERS**


On February 16, 2010, defendant electronically filed its Responsive Claim Construction Brief. Thereafter, on February 17, 2010, defendant, on behalf of both parties, electronically filed a proposed Stipulated Protective Order. In both instances, defendant has violated General Order 45 and the Court's Standing Orders, however, by failing to deliver to the Clerk's Office "no later than noon on the business day following the day that the papers are filed electronically, one paper copy of each document that is filed electronically . . . marked 'Chambers Copy' and . . . clearly marked with the judge's name, case number, and 'Chambers Copy-Do Not File.'" See General Order 45 § VI.G; see also Standing Orders for Civil Cases Assigned to the Honorable Maxine M. Chesney ¶ 2.

Defendant is hereby ORDERED to comply with General Order 45 and the Court's Standing Orders by immediately submitting a chambers copy of the above-referenced

1 documents. Defendant is hereby advised that if it fails in the future to comply with General
2 Order 45 and the Court's Standing Order to provide a chambers copy of each
3 electronically-filed document, the Court may impose sanctions, including, but not limited to,
4 striking from the record any electronically-filed document of which a chambers copy has not
5 been timely provided to the Court.

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7
8 Dated: February 23, 2010

9 
MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28