ALAN R. BRAYTON, ESQ., S.B. #73685 1 RICHARD M. GRANT, ESQ., S.B. #55677 BRAYTON PURCELL LLP 2 ATTORNEYS AT LAW 222 Rush Landing Road P.O. Box 6169 Novato, California 94948-6169 (415) 898-1555 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 . 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 No. C09-01069 MET VEW CHARLES J. JOHNSON, 11 12 Plaintiff, STIPULATION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT CROWN CORK & SEAL AND REMAND CASE TO SAN 13 vs. FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT 14 ASBESTOS DEFENDANTS (BP), et al., Defendants. 15 16 17 Come now Plaintiffs CHARLES J. JOHNSON ("Plaintiff") and Defendant CROWN CORK AND SEAL COMPANY INC. ("CC&S"), who file the following stipulation pursuant to 18 19 Local Rules 7-1 and 7-12: 20 WHEREAS, defendant CC&S removed this case to the United State District Court for the Northern District of California on March 11, 2009, on the ground that the court has "federal 21 22 officer" subject matter jurisdiction under 28 United States Code § 1442(a) based on Plaintiff' allegations that his injury was caused by products designed and manufactured by CC&S under 23 the supervision and control of the United States government; 24 WHEREAS, Defendant CC&S was the sole removing defendant and no other defendant 25 26 joined in removal or filed a separate notice of removal; 27 WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant CC&S, the affected parties, have now reached a 28 resolution of Plaintiff' claims again CC&S; S DEFENDANT CROWN CORK & SEAL AND REMAND CASE TO SAN OURT; C09-01069 MED VKW

	1
1	l
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	I
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	I
18	۱
19	
20	Ì
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

WHEREAS, Defendant CC&S's desire for a federal forum for this action is now moot and given the resolution of Plaintiff' claims against it; and

3	WHEREAS, pursuant to the parties' resolution, Plaintiff and Defendant CC&S seek to	
4	have this action remanded to state court, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the affected parties	
5	Plaintiff and Defendant CC&S, that all claims against Defendant CC&S shall be, and hereby	
6	are, dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and	
7	that this action shall be, and hereby is, immediately remanded to the San Francisco County	
8	Superior Court, the court in which it was originally filed and from which it was removed.	
9	Dated: March, 2009 BRAYTON * PURCELL LLP	
0	On m not	
1	By: Richard M. Grant	
2	Attorneys for Plaintiffs CHARLES J. JOHNSON	
3		
4	Dated: March	
5	Pulou. March 7 , 2007	
6	By Mahsgm. Jeasham	
7	Attorneys for Defendant CROWN CORK &	
8	SEAL	
9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Case is REMANDED to the	
20		
21	Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco, Case No 274919. The Clerk shall send	
22	a certified copy of this Order to the Clerk of the Court for the Superior Court of California,	
23	a certified copy of this Order to the Clerk of the Court for the Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco. March 27	
24	Sin	
25	Dated: March 27 2000 Pur	
26	Dated:, 2009 By:	