

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
 JOHN M. GRENFELL (CA Bar No. 88500)  
 2 john.grenfell@pillsburylaw.com  
 JACOB R. SORENSEN (CA Bar No. 209134)  
 3 jake.sorensen@pillsburylaw.com  
 FUSAE NARA (pro hac vice)  
 4 fusae.nara@pillsburylaw.com  
 ANDREW D. LANPHERE (CA Bar No. 191479)  
 5 andrew.lanphere@pillsburylaw.com  
 50 Fremont Street  
 6 San Francisco, CA 94105  
 Telephone: (415) 983-1000  
 7 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200

8 Attorneys for Defendants  
 SHARP CORPORATION and  
 9 SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13  
 14 IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)  
 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI  
 MDL No. 1827

15  
 16 This Document Relates To:  
 17 ALL ACTIONS

**STIPULATION AND [~~PROPOSED~~]  
 ORDER MODIFYING PRETRIAL  
 SCHEDULE FOR “TRACK ONE”  
 DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFF AND  
 STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
 CASES**

18  
 19  
 20  
 21 The Direct Action Plaintiffs, Attorneys General, and Defendants party to the below-  
 22 listed actions (collectively, “Parties”) hereby stipulate as follows:

23 **STIPULATION**

24 WHEREAS the Parties have met and conferred regarding the schedule set in the  
 25 Court’s Order re: Pretrial and Trial Schedule (Dkt. 2165) (“Pretrial and Trial Schedule”);

26 WHEREAS the Parties agree to the extension of selected dates set in the Pretrial and  
 27 Trial Schedule in order to allow sufficient time for discovery and related work;

1           WHEREAS the agreed upon revised pretrial schedule does not alter the dates set by  
2 the Court for the last day for hearing dispositive motions, pretrial schedule, or trial(s);

3           NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties, through their undersigned respective counsel,  
4 stipulate and request that the Court order as follows:

5           That the pretrial dates set forth in the Pretrial and Trial Schedule are amended,  
6 solely as to the following cases:

- 7           • *ATS Claim, LLC v. Epson Electronics America, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 09-cv-1115
- 8           • *AT&T Mobility LLC, et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.*,  
9           Case No. 09-cv-4997
- 10          • *Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.*,  
11          Case No. 10-cv-4572
- 12          • *Costco Wholesale Corporation v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.*,  
13          Case No. 11-cv-0058
- 14          • *Dell Inc., et al. v. Sharp Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 10-cv-1064
- 15          • *Eastman Kodak Co. v. Epson Imaging Devices Corp., et al.*,  
16          Case No. 10-cv-5452
- 17          • *Electrograph Systems, Inc., et al. v. Epson Imaging Devices Corp., et al.*,  
18          Case No. 10-cv-0117<sup>1</sup>
- 19          • *Motorola, Inc. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 09-cv-5840
- 20          • *Nokia Corporation, et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.*,  
21          Case No. 09-cv-5609
- 22          • *Target Corporation, et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.*,  
23          Case No. 10-cv-4945
- 24          • *TracFone Wireless, Inc. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.*,  
25          Case No. 10-cv-3205

26

---

27 <sup>1</sup> This does not include the separate case, *Electrograph Systems, Inc., et al. v. NEC*  
28 *Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 11-01690 (E.D.N.Y.).

- 1 • *State of Missouri, et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.*,
- 2 Case No. 10-cv-3619
- 3 • *State of Florida v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 10-cv-3517
- 4 • *State of New York v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 11-cv-0711
- 5 • *State of Oregon v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 10-cv-4346

6 That the pretrial dates set forth in the Order re: Pretrial and Trial Schedule are  
 7 amended as follows:

Event	Dates Applicable to all Direct Action Plaintiffs and all State AG Plaintiffs (in cases on file by 12/1/10). per Pretrial and Trial Schedule, Dkt. 2165	[Proposed] Revised Dates
Disclosure of identities of plaintiffs' experts and one paragraph description of issues to be addressed by each expert	July 1, 2011	October 3, 2011
Disclosure of identities of all defendants' experts and one paragraph description of issues to be addressed by each expert	August 1, 2011	November 3, 2011
Plaintiffs and defendants each to provide one paragraph description of each issue/ subject of summary judgment motions (copies to be provided to the court)	January 27, 2012	March 1, 2012
Close of limited fact discovery unique to DAP and State AG cases	September 2, 2011	December 8, 2011
Service of opening expert reports for plaintiffs	September 9, 2011	December 15, 2011
Service of underlying data and code	September 12, 2011	December 19, 2011

Event	Dates Applicable to all Direct Action Plaintiffs and all State AG Plaintiffs (in cases on file by 12/1/10), per Pretrial and Trial Schedule, Dkt. 2165	[Proposed] Revised Dates
Parties to serve supplemental disclosure with one paragraph description of any additional issues/topics of summary judgment motions (copies to be provided to the court)	March 2, 2012	April 2, 2012
Service of opposition expert reports	November 8, 2011	February 20, 2012
Service of underlying data and code	November 11, 2011	March 1, 2012
Service of reply expert reports	January 9, 2012	April 27, 2012
Service of underlying data and code	January 12, 2012	April 30, 2012
Last day to file dispositive motions	May 18, 2012	May 25, 2012
Close of expert discovery	February 15, 2012	May 18, 2012
Last day to file oppositions to dispositive motions	June 15, 2012	June 22, 2012
Last day to file reply briefs in support of dispositive motions	July 13, 2012	July 20, 2012
Last day for hearing dispositive motions	August 15, 2012	August 15, 2012
Pretrial conference	October 9, 2012	October 9, 2012
Trial begins	November 5, 2012	November 5, 2012

PROVIDED THAT, the Parties reserve their right to seek further adjustments to the schedule of any specific case based on future developments upon good cause shown in that particular case. Further, the foregoing is without prejudice of the right of any Defendants and intervenors who have opposed a motion filed in any of the above-referenced actions for leave to amend the complaint to add new defendants and/or causes of action to seek further






1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

July 12, 2011



---

The Honorable Susan Illston  
District Court Judge