## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Gregory Bender		
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 3:09-cv-1147 (MHP)
Broadcom Corpo	V. ration	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	el report that they have met a ulation pursuant to Civil L.R	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 2. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	gree to participate in the follo	wing ADR process:
√ (Note: Parties appreciably mADR phone CADR Phone C	nore likely to meet their need. conference and may not file the conference. See Civil Local R te Process:	ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  ettlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is ls than any other form of ADR, must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
The parties ag	gree to hold the ADR session the presumptive deadline (To referring the case to an AD)	by: The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order R process unless otherwise ordered.)
✓	other requested deadline 90 days from the date of the Court's claim construction order	
Dated: 10/21/0	9	/s/ David N. Kuhn Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 10/21/09		/s/ Anne E. Huffsmith
		Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

✓ other 90 days from the date of the Court's claim construction order

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 23, 2009

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE