

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GREGORY BENDER,

Plaintiff,

v.

NOKIA INC.,

Defendant.

No. C-09-1247 MMC

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION  
FOR RELIEF FROM NONDISPOSITIVE  
PRETRIAL ORDER OF MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE**

Before the Court is plaintiff Gregory Bender’s (“Bender”) “Motion for Relief from Nondispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge,” filed July 1, 2010. Having read and considered the motion, the Court DENIES the motion:

1. To the extent Bender argues that the magistrate judge’s finding that his “infringement contentions and related claim charts lack the specificity necessary to comply with the requirements of Patent L.R. 3-1” (Pl.’s Mot., 1), he has failed to demonstrate that such finding “is clearly erroneous or is contrary to law.” See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a) (providing that a district court judge must set aside any part of an order that is clearly erroneous or contrary to law). Specifically, Bender has failed to demonstrate that the magistrate judge erred by ordering him to amend his infringement contentions to comply with Patent L.R. 3-1, or that his infringement contentions already meet the specificity requirements thereof.

