Wolph et a	v. ACER America Corporation		Doc. 53	
	Case3:09-cv-01314-JSW Doc	ument51 Filed08/31/09 Page1 of 3		
1	CHAD A CERCENAN (CDN 225745)			
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	CHAD A. STEGEMAN (SBN 225745) (cstegeman@akingump.com) AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP			
3	580 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, CA 94104			
4	Telephone: (415) 765-9500 Facsimile: (415) 765-9501			
5	JAMES J. SCHESKE (admitted pro hac vice)			
6	(jscheske@akingump.com) AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP 5300 West 6 th Street, Suite 2100			
7	Austin, Texas 78701			
8	Telephone: (512) 499-6200 Facsimile: (512) 499-6290			
9	Attorneys for Defendant Acer America Corporation			
10				
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	LORA and CLAY WOLPH, on behalf of	Case No. CV 09 1314 JSW		
14	themselves and all others similarly situated,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		
15	Plaintiffs,	ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS		
16	v.			
17	ACER AMERICA CORPORATION, a California corporation,			
18	Defendant.			
19				
20	Covered was out that they have made and	conformed recording ADD and have reached the		
21	Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:			
22	The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process: Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)			
23				
24 25				
26				
27	(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is			
28	appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)			
	1	, and the second		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECT Case No. CV 09 1314 JSW	TING ADR PROCESS		
		Dockets	Justia.com	

Case3:09-cv-01314-JSW Document51 Filed08/31/09 Page2 of 3

1 2	Private Process: ☐ Private ADR (please iden.	tify process and provider)	
3			
4	The parties agree to hold the ADR session	on by:	
5	the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)		
6	_		
7		within six months of the defendant's answer to the	
8	DATED: August 31, 2009	PEARSON, SIMON, WARSHAW & PENNY, LLP DANIEL L. WARSHAW BOBBY POUYA	
10		HAUSFELD, LLP	
11		MICHAEL P. LEHMANN	
12		Rv· /s/	
13		By: /s/ DANIEL L. WARSHAW	
14		Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class	
15	DATED: August 31, 2009	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP	
16		By: /s/ CHAD A. STEGEMAN	
17		Attorneys for Defendant ACER AMERICA	
18		CORPORATION	
19	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under penalt		
20	of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Daniel L.		
21	Warshaw.		
22		AZIN CUMB CTDAUCC HAUED C. EEU DU D	
23	DATED: August 31, 2009	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP	
24			
25		By: /s/ CHAD A. STEGEMAN	
26		Attorneys for Defendant ACER AMERICA CORPORATION	
27			
28			

Case3:09-cv-01314-JSW Document51 Filed08/31/09 Page3 of 3

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2 3	Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to: Non-binding Arbitration
4	□ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)☑ Mediation□ Private ADR
5	
6	Deadline for ADR session 90 days from the date of this order.
7	Other: mediation shall commence within six months following Defendant's answer to the operative complaint
8	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
0	
11	
2	DATED: September 2_, 2009
3	
4	When Swhits
5	Jeffrey S. White United States District Court Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	