UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARCELLA SOLIMAR	RUIZ-LOPEZ	
		CASE NO. C09-1396 JSW
V.	Plaintiff(s),	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
CITY AND COUNTY OF FRANCISCO, ET AL.	F SAN	
	Defendant(s).	
-	•	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the . 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to par	ticipate in the follo	wing ADR process:
Court Processes	::	
[]] Non-bind	ing Arbitration (AD atral Evaluation (EN	·
appreciably more likely ADR phone conference	to meet their needs and may not file th	ttlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is sthan any other form of ADR, must participate in an his form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for tule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process:	<u>:</u>	
		rovess and provider)
<u> </u>		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
The parties agree to hol	mptive deadline (Th	by: ne deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)
other requ	iested deadline	
Dated: 7/8/0	9-	
Dated: 7/7/09		Attorney for Plaintiff Marcella Solimar Rutz-Lopez, et al.
		Attorney for Defendant

When filling this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

(PROPOSED) ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the c	aptioned matter is hereby referred	d to:
Non-binding Arbitration		
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)		i .
Mediation		
Private ADR		
Deadline for ADR session		
1 90 days from the date of this ord	ler.	
other	•	
	•	
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Datcd: <u>July 9, 2009</u>	When Start	£
	7 11 20 10 10	
	UNITED STATES	HIDOS
	OTITED STUTES	JUDGE