UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MATTHEW P. TONSING	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C09-01446-CW
V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION SAN EDANGISCO Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Counsel report that they have met a following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.F.	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	owing ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (A Early Neutral Evaluation (F ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably more likely to meet their need	ettlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is Is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an his form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process: Private ADR (please identigeneral)	ify process and provider)
	n by: The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order OR process unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
Dated: July 8, 2009	Daniel M. Crawford Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: July 8, 2009	Lawrence Hecimovich Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

|PROPOSED|ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

x Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

x 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

7/21/09

Dated:

Chidialeith

DISTRICT UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE