1 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 POUDFOOT and No. C 09-01492 WHA 10 EDWARD J. PROUDFOOT and No. C 09-01492 WHA 11 MICHELLE MILLIORN, 12 Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CAU 13 V. 14 CITY OF OAKLAND, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 Defendants. 17 Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief 18 opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received 19 Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward 19 Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 20 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion may be g	
2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 10 EDWARD J. PROUDFOOT and No. C 09-01492 WHA 11 Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CA 12 Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CA 13 V. 14 CITY OF OAKLAND, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 Defendants. 17 Defendant as filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief 18 opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received 19 Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward 20 Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not 21 Withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 22 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond 23 motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion	
3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 10 EDWARD J. PROUDFOOT and No. C 09-01492 WHA 11 Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CA 12 Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CA 13 V. 14 Defendants/ 15 Defendants/ 16 Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief 16 Opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received 19 Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward 20 Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not 21 Withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 22 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs dail to respond 23 motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion	
4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 10 EDWARD J. PROUDFOOT and No. C 09-01492 WHA 11 Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CA 12 Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CA 13 V. 14 CITY OF OAKLAND, et al., 15 Defendants. / 16 Defendants. // 17 Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief 18 opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received 19 Piouifoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not 12 withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond 21 motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion	
5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 EDWARD J. PROUDFOOT and No. C 09-01492 WHA 11 Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CA 12 Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CA 13 v. 14 CITY OF OAKLAND, et al., 15 Defendants/ 16 Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief 18 scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief 19 Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward 20 Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward 21 Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 23 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond 24 MON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs do not oppose the motion	
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 10 EDWARD J. PROUDFOOT and No. C 09-01492 WHA 11 MICHELLE MILLIORN, 12 Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CA 13 V. 14 Defendants. 15 Defendants. 16 V. 17 Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief 18 opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received 19 Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward 17 Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not 18 withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 12 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond 13 motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion	
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 10 EDWARD J. PROUDFOOT and No. C 09-01492 WHA 11 MICHELLE MILLIORN, 12 Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CA 13 v. 14 CITY OF OAKLAND, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 / 17 Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief 19 opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received 20 Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward 21 Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 23 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond 24 motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion	
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA EDWARD J. PROUDFOOT and No. C 09-01492 WHA MICHELLE MILLIORN, Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CA V. CITY OF OAKLAND, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion	
 8 9 10 EDWARD J. PROUDFOOT and No. C 09-01492 WHA MICHELLE MILLIORN, 11 Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CA 13 V. CITY OF OAKLAND, et al., Defendants/ 16 17 Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion 	
10 EDWARD J. PROUDFOOT and MICHELLE MILLIORN, No. C 09-01492 WHA 12 Plaintiffs, V. ORDER TO SHOW CA 13 V. CITY OF OAKLAND, et al., 14 Defendants. / 16 / Defendants. 17 Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief 19 opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received 20 Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward 21 Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 23 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion	
EDWARD J. PROODFOOT and No. C 09-01492 WHA MICHELLE MILLIORN, Plaintiffs, 0RDER TO SHOW CA v. CITY OF OAKLAND, et al., Defendants. / / Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond response the motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion	
Plaintiffs, ORDER TO SHOW CA v. CITY OF OAKLAND, et al., Defendants. // Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 2009, AT NOON , and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion	
12 v. 13 v. 14 CITY OF OAKLAND, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 / 17 Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current 18 scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief 19 opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received 20 Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward 21 Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not 22 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond 23 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond	
CITY OF OAKLAND, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 2009, AT NOON , and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion	JSE
Defendants. Defendants. Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 2009, AT NOON , and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion	
Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 2009, AT NOON , and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion	
Defendant has filed a motion to dismiss this action, and the motion is current scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 2009, AT NOON , and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion	
 scheduled to be heard August 20, 2009. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion 	
 opposition to the motion was due July 30, 2009, but no such opposition was received Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion 	-
 Plaintiffs' counsel had previously indicated that, due to the death of plaintiff Edward Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion 	
 Proudfoot, an amended complaint will be necessary. The motion, however, has not withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion 	
 withdrawn and remains on the calendar. Plaintiffs are ORDERED to respond by AUG 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion 	
 2009, AT NOON, and show cause for the failure to respond. If plaintiffs fail to respond 24 motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the motion 	
23 motion may be granted as unopposed. Alternately, if plaintiffs do not oppose the mo	,
24	d, the
must file a statement of non-annosition in accordance with Civil L and Dule 7.2(h)	tion they
must file a statement of non-opposition in accordance with Civil Local Rule 7-3(b).	non mey
26 IT IS SO ORDERED.	non mey
27 Detection August 2 2000	don they
28 Dated: August 3, 2009. WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JU	don they

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

Dockets.Justia.com