1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNO REBECCA A. HULL Bar No. 99802 RYAN L. HARRISON Bar No. 230584 ryan.harrison@sdma.com rebecca.hull@sdma.com One Market Plaza Steuart Tower, 8th Floor San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 781-7900 Facsimile: (415) 781-2635 Attorneys for Defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company and TriNet Group, Inc. and its Subsidiaries Welfa	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	THUYTIEN DO,	CASE NO. CV 09-1505 MMC
13 14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING THE ADR
14	V.	DEADLINE
15	METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE	
18 17	COMPANY; TRINET GROUP, INC. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES WELFARE PLAN,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	Plaintiff Thuytien Do ("plaintiff") and defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	
21	("MetLife"), TriNet Group, Inc. and its Subsidiaries Welfare Plan ("Plan"), by and through their	
22	respective counsel of record, hereby submit this stipulation and proposed order to extend the time	
23	for completion of ADR procedures to and including December 18, 2009.	
24	Good cause exists for the requested extension. The parties were scheduled for a	
25	mediation with Robert Schwartz, Esq., through the Court's ADR program, which had been to	
26	take place on October 23, 2009. Counsel for MetLife and the Plan, however, learned after the	
27	mediation date was scheduled that a hearing had been set in another matter, which conflicts with	
28	the scheduled mediation date, and which requires the personal attendance of the lead attorney for	
SF/1633061v1	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	-1- CASE NO. CV 09-1505 MMC ORDER EXTENDING THE ADR DEADLINE

1	defendants in this matter. ¹ As such, the mediation date must be reset, but it is not possible for it	
2	to be set in the near future. Moreover, the parties have been engaged in meaningful settlement	
3	discussions and believe resolution may be possible within the next 60 days even without the	
4	assistance of the assigned mediator.	
5	The parties are hopeful that the matter will be resolved within the proposed extended	
6	deadline, given that they are currently in discussions for possible resolution even without the	
7	assistance of the assigned mediator. Should that prove not to be a fruitful exercise, however, the	
8	parties will undertake to mediate the matter within the proposed extended deadline.	
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
10	DATED: October 22, 2009 SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP	
11	By: <u>/s/ Rebecca A. Hull</u> Rebecca A. Hull	
12	Ryan L. Harrison	
13	Attorneys for Defendants METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY;	
14	GMAC MORTGAGE GROUP LONG TERM DISABILITY PLAN	
15	DATED: October 22, 2009 KANTOR & KANTOR, LLP	
16	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{ex}} = \left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{ex}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{ex}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{ex}} \right) = \left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{ex}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{ex}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{ex}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{ex}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{ex}} \right)$	
17	By: <u>/s/ Alan E. Kassan (as authorized 10/22/09)</u> Alan E. Kassan	
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff THUYTIEN DO	
19	ODDED	
20	<u>ORDER</u>	
21	Pursuant to the Stipulation above, and good cause appearing therefor, the parties are	
22	ordered and directed to participate in mediation with the assistance of a mediator from the Court	
23	Appointed Panel, on or before December 18, 2009.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: November 3, 2009 Maline M. Chelmen UNITED STATES DISTRICT COLLET	
26		
27	¹ Although a more junior attorney also is counsel of record for defendants, he relocated to	
28	defense counsel's Austin, Texas, office a few weeks ago and is not available to attend the mediation.	
SE/16220611	-2- CASE NO. CV 09-1505 MMC	
SF/1633061v1	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING THE ADR DEADLINE	