2 3 4	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP ROXANE A. POLIDORA #135972 <u>roxane.polidora@pillsburylaw.com</u> 50 Fremont Street, Fifth Floor Post Office Box 7880 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880 Telephone: (415) 983-1000 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200	KELLER GROVER, LLP JEFFREY F. KELLER #148005 <u>jfkeller@kellergrover.com</u> 1965 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone: (415) 543-1305 Facsimile: (415) 543-7861 JACOBS KOLTON, CHTD.
7 8 9 10 11 12	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP DOUGLAS R. TRIBBLE #116868 CONNIE J. WOLFE #207661 douglas.tribble@pillsburylaw.com connie.wolfe@pillsburylaw.com 501 West Broadway, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92126-3575 Telephone: (619) 234-5000 Facsimile: (619) 236-1995 Attorneys for Defendants PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, AT&T SERVICES, INC., AT&T INC. and AT&T OPERATIONS, INC.	JOHN G. JACOBS ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) BRYAN G. KOLTON ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) jgjacobs@jacobskolton.com bgkolton@jacobskolton.com 122 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60603 Telephone: (312) 427-4000 Facsimile: (312) 427-1850 DAVID SCHACHMAN & ASSOC., PC DAVID SCHACHMAN ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) ds@schachmanlaw.com 122 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60603 Telephone: (312) 427-9500 Facsimile: (312) 427-1850
14 15 16		Attorneys for Plaintiff JOY NWABUEZE and the Putative Class DISTRICT COURT
17		CT OF CALIFORNIA
18	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION
<ul> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ul>	JOY NWABUEZE, individually and on behalf ) of a class of similarly situated individuals, Plaintiff, VS. AT&T INC., a Delaware corporation; PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY d/b/a AT&T CALIFORNIA, a California corporation; AT&T SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation; AT&T OPERATIONS, ) INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1	Case No. CV 09-01529 SI <u>STIPULATED REQUEST AND</u> [Proposed] ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANT AT&T, INC.'S TIME TO RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT [Local Rule 6-2] Judge: Hon. Susan Illston First Amended Class Action Complaint
20 27 28	through 21, ) Defendants. )	Filed: August 13, 2009

1	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Joy Nwabueze ("Plaintiff") caused the Summons and		
2	Complaint in this action to be served on Defendant AT&T Inc. on April 30, 2009;		
3	WHEREAS, Plaintiff subsequently filed a First Amended Complaint for Damages,		
4	Declaratory and Injunctive Relief on August 13, 2009;		
5	WHEREAS, Defendant AT&T, Inc. filed a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal		
6	Jurisdiction pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on		
7	September 18, 2009, and that motion was taken off calendar pending the resolution of the		
8	Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure filed		
9	by the other Defendants and joined by AT&T Inc. in the alternative;		
10	WHEREAS, in a Minute Order dated February 4, 2011, the Court terminated all		
11	prior motions to dismiss and set March 18, 2011 as the date by which any such motions to		
12	dismiss must be refiled, if necessary;		
13	WHEREAS, parties have been engaged in discussions regarding the possible		
14	dismissal without prejudice of Defendant AT&T, Inc., and require additional time to		
15	complete such discussions;		
16	WHEREAS, Defendant AT&T, Inc. requested, and Plaintiff agreed, to stipulate to a		
17	thirty-day extension of the time until April 18, 2011, within which Defendant AT&T, Inc.		
18	must respond to the First Amended Complaint;		
19	WHEREAS, on March 14, 2011, the Court entered an Order granting the thirty-day		
20	extension of time for Defendant AT&T, Inc. to respond to the First Amended Complaint.		
21	WHEREAS, Defendant AT&T, Inc. requested, and Plaintiff agreed, to stipulate to		
22	an additional thirty-day extension of the time, until May 18, 2011, within which Defendant		
23	AT&T, Inc. must respond to the First Amended Complaint;		
24	WHEREAS, the parties believe that deferring the issue of personal jurisdiction over		
25	Defendant AT&T, Inc. at this time will not delay the litigation and may instead realize		
26	efficiencies and judicial economy;		
27	WHEREAS, the extension will not alter the date of any event or deadline already		
28	fixed by Court order other than Defendant AT&T, Inc.'s response date;		
	601401315v1 - 2 - STIPULATED REQUEST AND [Proposed] ORDER EXTENDING		

1	NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated by and between the parties, through		
2	their respective counsel, that Defendant AT&T Inc. shall have until May 18, 2011, within		
3	which to respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.		
4	Dated: April 11, 2011.	DILI CDUDV WINITUDAD CUAW DITTMANI LD	
5		PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP ROXANE A. POLIDORA CONNIE J. WOLFE	
6		By /s/ Roxane A. Polidora	
7 8		Roxane A. Polidora Attorneys for Defendant AT&T INC.	
9 10	Dated: April 11, 2011	KELLER GROVER, LLP JEFFREY F. KELLER	
		JACOBS KOLTON, CHTD.	
11		JOHN G. JACOBS BRYAN G. KOLTON	
12		DAVID SCHACHMAN & ASSOC., PC	
13		DAVID SCHACHMAN	
14		By <u>/s/ John G. Jacobs</u>	
15		John G. Jacobs Attorneys for Plaintiff	
16		JOY NWABUEZE and the Putative Class	
17 18	ATTESTATION OF SIGNATURE (N.D. Cal. General Order 45)		
19	I, Roxane A. Polidora, hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of the following		
20	document: STIPULATED REQUEST AND [Proposed] ORDER EXTENDING		
21	DEFENDANT AT&T, INC.'S TIME TO RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED		
22	COMPLAINT [Local Rule 6-2] has been obtained from all of the signatories.		
23	Dated: April 11, 2011	/s/ Roxane A. Polidora	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1			
2			
3	OR	RDER	
4	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT	Γ IS SO ORDERED. The deadline for	
5	Defendant AT&T Inc. to answer or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint		
6	shall be and is hereby extended to May 18, 20		
7	Date:4/13/11	The Honorable Susan Illston	
8		United States District Judge	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	601401315v1	- 4 - STIPULATED REQUEST AND [Proposed] ORDER EXTENDING	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on April 12, 2011, a true and correct copy of STIPULATED
3	REQUEST AND [Proposed] ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANT AT&T, INC.'S
4	TIME TO RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT [Local Rule 6-2] was
5	electronically transmitted to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and
6	transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to all ECF registrants in this case.
· <sub>.</sub> 7	North Stat
8	Kathy Stout
9	Kang Stout
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	· · · · ·
15	· · · · ·
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	· ·