## Case3:09-cv-01579-JSW Document9 Filed06/15/09 Page1 of 5

1	JEFFREY F. KELLER (CA SBN 148005) jfkeller@kellergrover.com	
2	DENISE L. DÍAZ (CA SBN 159516)	
3	ddíaz@kellergrover.com CAREY G. BEEN (CA SBN 240996)	
4	cbeen@kellergrover.com KELLER GROVER LLP	
5	425 Second Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94107	
6	Telephone: 415.543.1305	
7	Facsimile: 415.543.7861	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff GEORGE T. BURKE	
9	JAMES R. McGUIRE (CA SBN 189275)	
0	JMcGuire@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
1	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482	
2	Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522	
.3	SYLVIA RIVERA (CA SBN 223203)	
4	SRivera@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
.5	555 West Fifth Street Los Angeles, CA 90013-1024	
6	Telephone: 213.892.5200 Facsimile: 213.892.5454	
.7	Attorneys for Defendants	
8	U.S. BANCORP and U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION	
9	UNITED STATES DIST	FRICT COURT
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
21	NORTHERN DISTRICT	or California
22	GEORGE T. BURKE, on behalf of himself and all	Case No. 09-CV-1579 JSW
23	others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION
24	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
25	v.	ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED
26	U.S. BANCORP, U.S. BANK N.A., and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	COMPLAINT AND CONTINUE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT
27 28	Defendants.	CONFERENCE AND RELATED DEADLINES
	STIP. TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO	Case No. 09-CV-1579 JSW

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a), Plaintiff George T. Burke ("Plaintiff") and Defendants U.S. Bancorp and U.S. Bank National Association ("Defendants"), by and through their respective attorneys, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, on April 10, 2009, Defendants removed this case from California State Superior Court;

WHEREAS, the parties have since engaged in mutual discussion and an informal exchange of information regarding the conduct at issue in this litigation;

WHEREAS, as a result of such discussions Plaintiff and Defendants agreed that Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint may not accurately allege certain facts and U.S. Bank's policies and procedures;

WHEREAS, as a further result of such discussions the parties agreed to an extension of Defendants' time to file a responsive pleading, until June 17, 2009, while the parties determined how best to proceed;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff decided that under the circumstances, amendment of the First Amended Complaint would be appropriate;

WHEREAS, late in the process of preparing a second amended complaint, Plaintiff discovered that another putative class action, commenced after this action, existed in the Central District of California regarding substantially the same subject matter as the instant litigation, specifically the case of *Lowe v. U.S. Bank, N.A.*, case no. SACV 09-0456 AG;

WHEREAS, at the time of discovery of the *Lowe* case, counsel for Defendants were in the process of preparing notices of related cases;

WHEREAS, counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for Lowe have agreed to prepare a consolidated amended complaint before this Court, the court before which the first-filed action is pending, in an effort to avoid duplicative litigation and conserve judicial resources;

WHEREAS, as a result of the anticipated consolidated amended complaint
it will be necessary for Plaintiff to make additional and previously unanticipated
amendments to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendants are hopeful that a stipulation can be reached regarding the filing of a Second Amended Complaint. The parties acknowledge that Defendants will require time to review the proposed Second Amended Complaint when it is available in advance of any stipulation;

WHEREAS, in light of the foregoing, Plaintiff has requested an additional two week extension to allow Plaintiff's counsel and counsel in *Lowe* adequate time to coordinate the two cases and hopefully reach a stipulation with Defendants regarding filing a Second Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, the Initial Case Management Conference in this matter is currently scheduled for Friday, July 31, 2009 at 1:30 p.m.;

WHEREAS, the Parties' Rule 26(f) Report and Joint Case Management Statement are currently due July 24, 2009, 7 days prior to the Initial Case Management Conference;

WHEREAS, the Parties are currently required to file ADR Certifications and a Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference by July 10, 2009, 21 days prior to the Initial Case Management Conference;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a), and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a), by and between Plaintiff George Burke and Defendants U.S. Bancorp and U.S. Bank National Association, through their respective attorneys, that the time by which defendants may plead or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint shall be extended to and include **Wednesday**, **July 1**, **2009**.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED pursuant to Northern District Local Rules 6-2(a), 7-12, and 16-2(e), that the Initial Case Management Conference currently scheduled for **Friday**, **July 31**, **2009**, shall be continued to

## Case3:09-cv-01579-JSW Document9 Filed06/15/09 Page4 of 5

J		
1	Friday, September 4, 2009 or any date thereafter at the Court's convenience.	
2	Additionally, in accordance with FRCP 26(f) and Local Rule 16-9(a), the Parties	
3	Rule 26(f) Report and Joint Case Management Conference Statement will be due	
4	seven (7) days prior to the rescheduled Initial Case Management Conference. The	
5	ADR Certifications and a Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR	
6	Phone Conference will be due twenty-one (21) days prior to the rescheduled Initial	
7	Case Management Conference.	
8		
9	Dated: June 15, 2009	JEFFREY F. KELLER CAREY G. BEEN
10		KELLER GROVER LLP
11		
12		By: /s/ Carey G. Been
13		Carey G. Been
14		Attorneys for Plaintiff
15		GEORGE T. BURKE
16	Dated: June 15, 2009	JAMES R. MCGUIRE
17	,	SYLVIA RIVERA
18		MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
19		
20		By: /s/ Sylvia Rivera
21		Sylvia Rivera
22		Attorneys for Defendants U.S. BANCORP and U.S. BANK
23		NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	TORSCANT TO STIT CLATTON, I	A
26	Dated: June 18, 2009	By: Jeffry Swhits
27	Dated. Julie 10, 2009	Judge Jeffrey S. White
28	United States District Court Judge	
	STIP. TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO	3 Case No. 09-CV-1579 JSW

FAC AND CONTINUE INITIAL CMC