	Case3:09-cv-01579-JSW Document1	6 Filed07/13/	09 Page1 of 47	
1	JEFFREY F. KELLER (CA SBN 148005)			
2	jfkeller@kellergrover.com KATHLEEN R. SCANLAN (CA SBN 197529)			
3	kscanlan@kellergrover.com CAREY G. BEEN (CA SBN 240996)			
4	cbeen@kellergrover.com KELLER GROVER LLP			
5	425 Second Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94107			
6	Telephone: 415.543.1305 Facsimile: 415.543.7861			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
8	GEORĞE T. BURKE			
9	JAMES R. McGUIRE (CA SBN 189275) JMcGuire@mofo.com			
	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			
10	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482			
11	Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522			
12	SYLVIA RIVERA (CA SBN 223203)			
13	SRivera@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			
14	555 West Fifth Street			
15	Los Angeles, CA 90013-1024 Telephone: 213.892.5200			
16	Facsimile: 213.892.5454			
17	Attorneys for Defendants U.S. BANCORP and U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION			
18	UNITED STATES DIS		r.	
19	UNITED STATES DIS		L	
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
20 21	GEORGE T. BURKE, on behalf of himself and all	Case No. 09-0	CV-1579 JSW	
21	others similarly situated,	CLASS ACT	ION	
22	Plaintiff,		ON TO AMEND	
23	V.	COMPLAIN ORDER	T AND [PROPOSED]	
24	U.S. BANCORP, U.S. BANK N.A., and DOES 1-10, inclusive,			
25	Defendants.			
26		_		
27				
28	STIPULATION TO AMEND			
	COMPLAINT		Case No. 09-CV-1579 JSW	

## Case3:09-cv-01579-JSW Document16 Filed07/13/09 Page2 of 47

Plaintiff George Burke ("Plaintiff") and defendants U.S. Bancorp and U.S. Bank National
 Association ("Defendants"), through their respective attorneys, hereby stipulate and agree as
 follows:

WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed his complaint in this matter on February 6, 2009;

5 WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed the First Amended Complaint in this matter on March 10,
6 2009;

7 WHEREAS, Defendants removed this case from California State Superior Court on
8 April 10, 2009;

9 WHEREAS, the parties have since engaged in mutual discussion and an informal
10 exchange of information regarding the conduct at issue in this litigation;

WHEREAS, as a result of such discussions Plaintiff and Defendants agreed that
Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint may not accurately allege certain facts and Defendants'
policies and procedures;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff decided that under the circumstances, amendment of the First
Amended Complaint would be appropriate;

WHEREAS, in the process of preparing a second amended complaint, Plaintiff
discovered that another putative class action, commenced after this action, existed in the Central
District of California regarding substantially the same subject matter as the instant litigation,
specifically the case of *Lowe, et al. v. U.S. Bank, N.A.*, case number SACV 09-0456 AG (the *"Lowe* Action");

WHEREAS, counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for the plaintiffs in the *Lowe* Action agreed
to prepare a combined, amended complaint before this Court, the court before which the firstfiled action is pending, in an effort to avoid duplicative litigation and conserve judicial resources;

WHEREAS, Defendants have consented to Plaintiff filing the Second Amended Complaint, which, among other things, combines Plaintiff's complaint with the complaint of the plaintiffs in the *Lowe* Action: Robert Lowe, Lori Aldana, Shane Parkins, and Kara Parkins; and

27 28

4

1

## Case3:09-cv-01579-JSW Document16 Filed07/13/09 Page3 of 47

WHEREAS, on June 15, 2009, the *Lowe* Action was voluntarily dismissed without
 prejudice to the plaintiffs' right to re-file, in anticipation of the filing of the Second Amended
 Complaint before this Court;

NOW THEREFORE, the parties hereby agree and stipulate to the filing of the Second
Amended Complaint attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and the addition of Robert Lowe, Lori Aldana
and Shane and Kara Parkins as plaintiffs to the instant litigation. The parties further stipulate
that should the Court issue an order approving the filing of the Second Amended Complaint, then
Defendants should have fifteen (15) days from the date of such order to respond to the Second
Amended Complaint.

<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>12</li> </ol>	Dated: July 13, 2009	JEFFREY F. KELLER KATHLEEN R. SCANLAN CAREY G. BEEN KELLER GROVER LLP	
13 14 15		By: /s/ Kathleen R. Scanlan Kathleen R. Scanlan	
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff GEORGE T. BURKE	
17	Dated: July 13, 2009	JAMES R. MCGUIRE SYLVIA RIVERA	
18		MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
19			
20		By: <u>/s/Sylvia Rivera</u> Sylvia Rivera	
21		Attorneys for Defendants	
22		U.S. BANCORP and U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION	
23			
24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
25 26	$D_{\rm rel} = 101 \times 14,2000$	all Rola	
26 27	Dated:July 14, 2009 H	By:	
27 28		United States District Court Judge	
20	STIPULATION TO AMEND 2 COMPLAINT	Case No. 09-CV-1579 JSW	