UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

9

11 NOVENDER FLEMING,

12 Plaintiff(s),

13 v.

14 NADIA CLARK, et al.,

and Consolidated Case

No. C09-1613 BZ

Defendant(s).

VICTOR JONES,

v.

Plaintiff(s),

intiff(s),)
No. C09-4757 BZ

19 NADIA CLARK, et al.,

Defendant(s).

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST TO RE-OPEN DISCOVERY

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

2.0

17

18

Plaintiffs' request to re-open discovery (Doc. No. 101) is **DENIED**. In their motion for leave to amend the complaint, plaintiffs stated that "it makes sense to add all relevant claims, particularly those that do not require additional discovery, especially those that are supported by the evidence." Doc. No. 75 p. 2. Plaintiffs characterized their amendment as "academic" and "supported by the discovery thus

far." Id. at 3. Finally, plaintiffs directly stated that they did not "foresee" any additional discovery being necessary, which was a representation that the Court relied upon when ruling on the motion to amend. Doc. No. 76 ¶ 17. Dated: May 12, 2010

Bernard

United States Magistrate Judge

Zimmerman

 $\label{lem:conder} \mbox{ G:\BZALL}-\mbox{BZCASES\FLEMING } \mbox{ v. CLARK\ORDER DENYING PLT'S REQUEST TO RE-OPEN DISCOVERY.wpd}$