

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOVENDER FLEMING,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff(s),	)	No. C 09-1613 BZ
	)	
v.	)	
	)	<b>ORDER RE <i>IN CAMERA</i> REVIEW</b>
NADIA CLARK, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendant(s).	)	
_____	)	

Before the Court is plaintiff's motion to compel defendants to produce the affidavit filed by defendant Clark when she applied for the search warrant that gave rise to the incident of which plaintiff complains. In part, plaintiff claims that the search was conducted without probable cause. The Court has determined that it needs to review the affidavit *in camera* before it can rule on the motion. The Court further understands that the Superior Court of Alameda County has thus far refused to authorize the disclosure of the affidavit. **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that by **October 15, 2009**, counsel for defendants shall present a copy of this Order to the Alameda County Superior Court and request that Court to authorize him

1 to provide this Court with a copy of the affidavit for *in*  
2 *camera* review. After obtaining it, counsel for defendants  
3 shall lodge a copy with chambers and shall notify plaintiff of  
4 the lodging.

5 Dated: October 5, 2009

6   
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
Bernard Zimmerman  
United States Magistrate Judge

8 G:\BZALL\BZCASES\FLEMING v. CLARK\ORDER RE IN CAMERA REVIEW.wpd  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28