

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PLANTRONICS, INC.,  
Plaintiff,

No. C 09-1714 WHA

v.

ALIPH, INC. and ALIPHCOM, INC.,  
Defendants.

**ORDER DENYING PRO HAC  
VICE APPLICATIONS OF  
ATTORNEYS PATRICK J. LAMB  
AND PAT HEPTIG**

The *pro hac vice* applications of Attorneys Patrick J. Lamb and Pat Heptig (Dkt. Nos. 274, 275) are **DENIED** for failing to comply with Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States Court or of the highest court of another State or the District of Columbia, specifying such bar” (emphasis added). Filling out the *pro hac vice* form from the district court website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership — such as “the bar of Texas” — is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court (such as the Supreme Court of Texas). While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 2, 2013.

  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE