

1 David C. Bohrer (Bar No. 212397)
 David.bohrer@valoremlaw.com
 2 VALOREM LAW GROUP, LLP
 60 South Market Street, Suite 1400
 3 San Jose, California 95113-2396
 Telephone: (408) 938-3882
 4 Facsimile: (408) 915-2672

5 Pat Heptig (Bar No. 00793940)
 Pat.heptig@valoremlaw.com
 6 (*pro hac vice pending*)
 Of Counsel
 7 VALOREM LAW GROUP, LLP
 15050 E. Beltwood Pkwy.
 8 Addison, Texas 75001
 Telephone: (214) 451-2154
 9 Facsimile: (312) 676-5499

10 Patrick Lamb (Bar No. 6182882)
 Patrick.lamb@valoremlaw.com
 11 (*pro hac vice pending*)
 VALOREM LAW GROUP, LLP
 12 35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 3000
 Chicago, Illinois 60601
 13 Telephone: (312) 676-5477
 Facsimile: (312) 676-5499

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 15 PLANTRONICS, INC.

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 18 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

19 PLANTRONICS, INC.,
 20 Plaintiff,
 21 vs.
 22 ALIPH, INC. and ALIPHCOM, INC.,
 23 Defendants.

) Case No. C 09-01714 (WHA)
)
) ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
) PLANTRONICS' SUPPLEMENTAL
) ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR
) LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL
) DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
) IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE THE
) PORTIONS OF REPORT AND
) TESTIMONY OF DEFENDANTS'
) DAMAGES EXPERT
) RE: FREEBIT EARBUD TECHNOLOGY

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Now before the Court is plaintiff Plantronics, Inc.’s (“Plantronics”) Supplemental Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents Under Seal. Upon consideration of the motion and the supporting declaration of David C. Bohrer filed therewith, the Court finds there to be good cause for granting Plantronics’ request to file documents under seal.

GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

- 1. Plantronics possesses an overriding confidentiality interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record in the following documents or portions thereof:

Document	Portion to be Sealed
Exhibit E to Decl. of David C. Bohrer (D.N. 284) ISO Motion in Limine to Exclude Portions of Report and Testimony of Defendants’ Damages Expert Re: Freebit Earbud Technology	Redacted portions

- 2. Plantronics’ confidentiality interests support sealing the records;
- 3. A substantial probability exists that the Plantronics’ overriding confidentiality interests will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed as requested;
- 4. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored and no less-restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: __ December 11 __, 2013

