

## QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &amp; SULLIVAN, LLP

Robert P. Feldman (Bar No. 69602)  
bobjfeldman@quinnemanuel.com  
Dane W. Reinstedt (Bar No. 275447)  
danereinstedt@quinnemanuel.com  
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Redwood Shores, California 94065-2139  
Telephone: (650) 801-5000  
Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

John M. Neukom (Bar No. 275887)  
johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com  
50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111  
Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

Attorneys for Defendants Aliph, Inc. and  
Aliphcom, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

PLANTRONICS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALIPH, INC. AND ALIPHCOM, INC.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 09-cv-01714-WHA

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING  
ALIPH, INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER  
SEAL DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF  
OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE

Date: January 9, 2013  
Time: 8:00 a.m.  
Courtroom: 8, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Judge: Hon. William Alsup

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Now before the Court is Defendant Aliph, Inc.'s Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents Under Seal. Upon consideration of the motion and the supporting declaration of Dane W. Reinstedt filed therewith, the Court finds there to be good cause for granting Aliph's request to file documents under seal.

GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

1. The parties possess overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of public access to the record in the following documents or portions thereof:

Document	Portion to be Sealed
Requested Seal Doc. No. 1 (Exhibit A to Reinstedt Declaration ISO Opposition to Motion in Limine)	Redacted Portions
Requested Seal Doc. No. 2 (Exhibit C to Reinstedt Declaration ISO Opposition to Motion in Limine)	Redacted Portions

2. The parties' confidentiality interests support sealing the records.

3. A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality interests will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed as requested;

4. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored and no less-restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 27, 2013.

