22

23

24

25

26

27

28

| 1 | | |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 5 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | PLANTRONICS, INC., | No. C 09-01714 WHA |
| 9 | Plaintiff, | |
| 10 | V. | ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MOTION TO SEAL |
| 11 | ALIPH, INC., et al., | (DKT. NO. 337) |
| 12 | Defendants. | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | On January 25, Plantronics, Inc. filed an administrative motion for leave to file under | |
| 15 | seal 38 exhibits in their entirety and proposed redactions to its opposition to Aliph's motion to | |
| 16 | strike damages expert reports of Dr. Lynde and Mr. Napper (Dkt. No. 337). On January 31, | |
| 17 | defendants filed a supporting declaration stating "Aliph does not seek sealing of Exhibits A-E, | |
| 18 | G-U, W-Z, EE-RR." Accordingly, the request to seal Exhibits A, B, E, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, | |
| 19 | Q, R, S, T, U, W, X, Y, Z, EE, FF, GG, HH, II, JJ, MM, NN, PP, WW, and RR is DENIED . The | |
| 20 | request to seal Exhibits F, V, AA, BB, CC, and DD is GRANTED . The request to seal the | |
| 21 | proposed reductions in Plantronics' opposition is Granted in Part and Denied in Part . | |

Aliph's proposed redactions on pages 5 and 6 are granted (Dkt. No. 346-1). Plantronics' proposed redactions in yellow on pages 20-23 are granted. The remainder of the proposed redactions shall not be sealed. Plantronics shall re-file its opposition and exhibits in accordance with this order by NOON ON FEBRUARY 7.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 3, 2014.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE