28

1		
2		
3	NOT F	OR CITATION
4	1,022	O-1 O-1-1-0-1
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	EUREKA DIVISION	
10		
11	AMJAD ABUDIAD,	No. CV 09-1778 JSW (NJV)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE DEFENDANTS' MOTION
13	V.	TO QUASH DEPOSITION NOTICES
14	(Docket No. 94) CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.,	
15		
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	The Court heard oral argument on Defendants' motion to quash on October 19, 2011. At the	
19	conclusion of the hearing, the Court asked the parties to obtain clarification from Judge White	
20	regarding the contours of the bifurcation order. As of the date of this Order, the parties have not	
21	provided any clarification to the Court. The parties are ordered to file a status update within three	
22	court days describing the efforts they have made to obtain such clarification and when clarification	
23	may be expected.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Datad: Navambar 2, 2011	Na
26	Dated: November 3, 2011	NANDOR J. VADAS
27		United States Magistrate Judge

-----