

1 Alan Harris (SBN 146079)  
 2 David Zelenski (SBN 231768)  
 HARRIS & RUBLE  
 6424 Santa Monica Boulevard  
 Los Angeles, California 90038  
 Telephone: 323.962.3777  
 Facsimile: 323.962.3004  
 aharris@harrisandruble.com  
 atreanor@harrisandruble.com

6 David S. Harris (SBN 215224)  
 NORTH BAY LAW GROUP  
 116 East Blithedale Avenue, Suite 2  
 Mill Valley, California 94941  
 Telephone: 415.388.8788  
 Facsimile: 415.388.8770  
 dsh@northbaylawgroup.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14 TAMARAH R. SEIELSTAD, individually  
 and on behalf of all others similarly  
 situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AEGIS SENIOR COMMUNITIES, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 09-01797 MMC

**[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT OF  
DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

*Assigned to Hon. Maxine M. Chesney*

20 KENNYON MORRIS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AEGIS SENIOR COMMUNITIES, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 10-2060 MMC

*Assigned to Hon. Maxine M. Chesney*

Date: September 30, 2011

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Place: Courtroom 7, 450 Golden Gate  
Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102

1 In accordance with the Order Granting Final Approval of Class-Action Settlement  
2 (“Final Approval Order”) entered by the Court in this action, **IT IS ORDERED** that:

3 1. The two Settlement Classes conditionally certified in the Preliminary  
4 Approval Order are confirmed. Specifically, Settlement Class A consists of all current  
5 and former non-exempt, hourly paid California employees of Defendant performing care-  
6 manager duties in the position of lead care manager, care manager, medical care  
7 manager, and medical technician from April 24, 2005, to and including April 15, 2011;  
8 Settlement Class B consists of all former California employees of Defendant who were  
9 terminated from Defendant’s employment between the time period April 24, 2005, to and  
10 including April 15, 2011.

11 2. Judgment is hereby entered dismissing the above-captioned actions against  
12 Defendant on the merits and with prejudice and without the payment of fees or costs  
13 other than as provided in the Settlement referred to in the Final Approval Order.

14 3. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, the Court hereby  
15 retains continuing jurisdiction over (a) the implementation of the Settlement as described  
16 in the Final Approval Order, (b) the payment of the amount of reasonable attorney’s fees  
17 and costs to be awarded to Class Counsel as provided for in the Settlement, and (c) all  
18 Parties hereto for the purpose of administering the Settlement and enforcing the terms of  
19 this Judgment.

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21  
22 DATED: January 17, 2012

23   
24 U.S. District Court Judge