1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	ENCOMPASS HOLDINGS, INC., )
12	Plaintiff(s), ) No. C09-1816 BZ
13	v. ) ) ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS' JOINT
14	CAREY F. DALY II, et al., ) MOTION TO RE-NOTICE MOTION
15	Defendant(s).
16	)
17	Before the Court is defendants' Joint Re-Notice of Motion
18	and Joint Motion for Summary Judgment, etc. (Docket Nos. 217
19	and 218). The Court finds Mr. Miller's explanation for why he
20	did not file a joint statement of undisputed facts to be
21	inadequate. However, in view of his assertion in paragraph 4
22	that the facts are not reasonably subject to dispute, the
23	Court will permit the motion to proceed, subject to it being
24	vacated if Mr. Miller's assertion proves incorrect. The
25	regular briefing schedule applies. Plaintiff's opposition is
26	///
27	///
28	///

1

1	due by <b>September 9, 2011.</b> Defendants' reply, if any, is due
2	by September 16, 2011.
3	Dated: August 31, 2011
4	Bernard Zimmerman
5	United States Magistrate Judge
б	G:\BZALL\-BZCASES\ENCOMPASS V. DALY\ORDER RE DEFS RE-NOTICE OF MOTION.wpd
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	$\mathbf{O}$