The parties request a 60 day continuance of the Case Management Conference scheduled for April 7, 2010 to permit completion of the also-requested judicial settlement conference. The parties are pleased to report that they have been cooperating. At the time of writing this statement, the parties have already completed a joint site inspection of both campuses. This was attended by all parties, consultants and counsel as required by General Order 56. Plaintiff has

25

26

27

28

provided defendants with her demand as to remediations along with the reports of her principle access consultants Barry Atwood and Peter Margen, as well as proposed remediation drawings by general contractor consultant Karl Danz. Defendants have returned responsive reports as to both campuses by access consultant Kim Blackseth. Tentative agreements appear to have been reached already on many issues. The parties are in the process of scheduling a final follow-up meeting under GO 56, which will occur within the next several weeks between all parties and consultants. This will attempt to resolve the remediation claims, after which the parties will immediately turn to negotiation of the remaining monetary claims. They anticipate reaching either resolution or impasse within the next 60 days.

In order to maintain the momentum toward settlement and to assist the parties in their negotiations, the parties request referral of this matter to a judicial settlement conference before a magistrate judge.

There is substantial good cause for a referral. This case is complicated by claims pertaining to JFK's educational policies, practices and procedures. The parties believe the divisive nature of these issues, the extended nature of the relationship between Ms. Freeman and JFK staff, as well as the substantial size of potential statutory damages, will require the firm assistance of a magistrate judge, who will be more effective at dealing with the factual and legal ////

19

20

21

25

26

27 28

1	issues, as well as certain complexities regarding contribution. The parties suggest referral to the	
2	matter to magistrate judge Elizabeth La Porte.	
3		
4	Dated: March 31, 2010	THIMESCH LAW OFFICES TIMOTHY S. THIMESCH
5		/s/ Authorized Signed
6		Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAI FREEMAN
7		
8	Dated: March 31, 2010	DANIEL R. FRIEDENTHAL, ESQ. FRIEDENTHAL, HEFFERNAN & KLEIN, LLP.
9		/s/ Authorized Signed
10		Attorneys for Defendant JOHN F. KENNEDY UNIVERSITY
11		
12	Dated: March 31, 2010	DAVID W. EVANS, ESQ. NAIRI PATERSON, ESQ.
13		HAIGHT BROWN & BONESTEEL LLP
14		MARK L. DAWSON, ESQ.
15		MARK L. DAWSON, ESQ. ERIC RITIGSTEIN, ESQ. CRADDICK CANDLAND & CONTI
16		/s/ Authorized Signed
17		Attorneys for Defendants BERKELEY BUSINESS CENTER II, L.P.,
18		formerly known as and appearing as Berkeley Business Center, L.P; and for HS MANAGEMENT
19		ADVISORS, LLC, formerly known as and erroneously sued as Ashby Plaza, LLC
20		
21		
22		<u>ORDER</u>
23	SO ORDERED.	The CMC is reset from 4/7/10 at 1:30 pm to 7/14/10 at 1:30 p.m., and a
24		joint CMC statement shall be filed by 7/7/10. This case is referred to Magistrate Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte for settlement conference.
25	Dated: 3/31/10	Settlement conference is to be held within 90 days.
26		HON. EDWARD M. CHEN STES DISTRICT COURT
27		IT IS SO ORDERED TO ODDIFIED
28		Z GM. Chen

Stipulation and Order Continuing Case Management Conference: Case No. CV-09-1839 EMC