1	SAMUEL K. LU (SBN 171969)	JAMES POOLEY (SBN 58041)
2	NATHAN N. LOWENSTEIN (SBN 241067)	MICHAEL A. JACOBS (SBN 111664) SUSAN V. VAUGHAN (SBN 223576)
3	BRIAN D. KRECHMAN (SBN 254418) IRELL & MANELLA LLP	JONATHAN L. MCFARLAND (SBN 247601) CHRISTOPHER L. ROBINSON (SBN 260778)
4	1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Los Angeles, California 90067-4276	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 Page Mill Road
5	Telephone: (310) 277-1010 Facsimile: (310) 203-7199	Palo Alto, California 94304-1018 Telephone: (650) 813-5600
6	E-mail: slu@irell.com E-mail: nlowenstein@irell.com	Facsimile: (650) 494-0792 E-mail: jpooley@mofo.com
7	E-mail: bkrechman@irell.com	E-mail: mjacobs@mofo.com E-mail: svaughan@mofo.com
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff PLANTRONICS, INC.	E-mail: jmcfarland@mofo.com E-mail: christopherrobinson@mofo.com
9		DAVID SCHNAPF (SBN 100199) TOWNSEND & TOWNSEND & CREW LLP
10		Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834
11		Telephone: (415) 576-0200 Facsimile: (415) 576-0300
12		E-mail: dschnapf@townsend.com
13		Attorneys for Defendants ALIPH, INC. and ALIPHCOM, INC.
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
17		, 5.50 \$ 5 5 5 7 5 8 5 5 7
18	PLANTRONICS, INC.	Case No. C 09-01843 CRB
19		
20	Plaintiff	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING CASE WITHOUT
21	v.	PREJUDICE
22		
23	ALIPH, INC. and ALIPHCOM, INC.,	
24	Defendants.	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		
		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING CASE

ORDER DISMISSING CASE C 09-01843 CRB

1	Plaintiff Plantronics, Inc. ("Plantronics"), by and through its undersigned counsel, and	
2	Defendants Aliph, Inc. and AliphCom, Inc. (collectively, "Aliph"), by and through their	
3	undersigned counsel, submit the following stipulation of dismissal without prejudice pursuant to	
4	Rule 41(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:	
5	WHEREAS, on January 15, 2009, Plantronics filed suit against Aliph in the Eastern	
6	District of Texas (case number 6:09-cv-24, the "Texas Action");	
7	WHEREAS, on March 23, 2009, the court granted Aliph's motion to transfer the Texas	
8	Action to the Northern District of California;	
9	WHEREAS, on April 14, 2009, the Texas Action was transferred to the Northern District	
10	of California, and became Case No. 3:09-cv-01714 (the "Judge Breyer Action");	
11	WHEREAS, on April 28, 2009, the Texas Action was erroneously transferred again to the	
12	Northern District of California, and became the instant action, Case No. 3:09-cv-01843 (the	
13	"Judge James Action");	
14	WHEREAS, on May 5, 2009, the Court issued an order relating the Judge James Action to	
15	the Judge Breyer Action;	
16	WHEREAS, the parties agree that the Judge James Action was erroneously opened and is	
17	duplicative of the Judge Breyer Action;	
18	ACCORDINGLY, the parties agree that the Judge James Action (Case No. 3:09-cv-	
19	01843) should be dismissed without prejudice, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of	
20	Civil Procedure, without any effect on the Judge Breyer Action (Case No. 3:09-01714), and	
21	request that the Court order the same.	
22	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 2	Dated: November 3, 2009 IR	RELL & MANELLA LLP
3	D.	u /a/ Drian D. Wasahanan
4	D)	y: /s/ Brian D. Krechman Brian D. Krechman
5		Attorneys for Plaintiff PLANTRONICS, INC.
6		
7		ORRISON & FOERSTER LLP OWNSEND & TOWNSEND & CREW LLP
8		OWNSEND & TOWNSEND & CREW LLP
9	D	v. /c/Sucan V. Vaughan
10	D	y: /s/ Susan V. Vaughan Susan V. Vaughan
11		Attorneys for Defendants ALIPH, INC. and ALIPHCOM, INC.
12		
13	Filer's Attestation:	
14 15	I, Brian D. Krechman, am the ECF user whose identification and password are being used to file this <b>STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING CASE</b> . In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that Susan V. Vaughan concurs in this filing.	
16	B	y: <u>/s/ Brian D. Krechman</u> Brian D. Krechman
17		Brian D. Krechman
18		OPPERE
19	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: Nov. 10 2009	
20	Dated: Nov. 10, 2009	
21		THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER United States District L.
22		United States District In Inc.  IT IS SO ORDERED
23		NIN AIN
24		Judge Charles R. Breyer
25		
26		THERN DISTRICT OF COM
27		
28		
	II	CTIDIII ATIONI AND IDDODOCEDI

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING CASE C 09-01843 CRB