1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case No. <u>09-cv-01880-EMC</u>
8	COMMISSION, et al.,	
9	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S RE- CALCULATION OF PREJUDGMENT
10	v.	INTEREST IN ACCORDANCE WITH MARCH 1, 2016 ORDER
11	MICHAEL F. KARA, et al.,	Docket No. 168
12	Defendants.	
13		

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filed its supplemental brief pursuant to this Court's March 1, 2016 Order directing the SEC to re-calculate prejudgment interest based on the date in which Defendant possessed the ill-gotten gains to the date when Defendant paid taxes on it. The SEC contends the appropriate accrual date is March 29, 2007. This date corresponds to the last transaction of Defendant's insider trading scheme. The SEC calculates pre-judgment interest from March 29, 2007 to October 14, 2008, when Defendant paid taxes, as \$46,686.84.

Defendant filed no opposition to contest the calculation. Having reviewed the SEC's calculation, the Court finds that the SEC's calculation for prejudgment interests is reasonable and fair and hereby orders Defendant to pay prejudgment interest in the amount of \$46,686.84.

II. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

On March 1, 2016, this Court ordered the SEC to submit supplemental briefing calculating
the amount of prejudgment interest for the period where Defendant possessed the ill-gotten gains
up to the date taxes were paid (October 14, 2008). The SEC has calculated the amount of
prejudgment interest to be \$46,686.84 on the \$424,988 of disgorgement ordered by the Court.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

United States District Court For the Northern District of California 1

2

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

The calculation of prejudgment interest is based on the determination made by William Young, a Financial Economist in the Office of Litigation Economics in the Division of Economic and Risk Analysis of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"). 3 See Docket No. 169 (Young Decl.). Young stated he calculated the prejudgment interest by "utiliz[ing] the standard approach used by the Commission's Enforcement Division, which adapts the IRS' rules for calculating interest on the underpayment of taxes." See Young Decl. ¶ 4. 6 7 Young further states,

> According to the Court's Order, interest was accrued from March 29. 2007 through October 14, 2008. This conservatively corresponds to the settlement date of the last transaction related to the scheme (in Biosite, Inc.), as explained in Exhibit 2 to my initial declaration [Docket No. 154], and the date taxes were paid, respectively. Partial months are ignored and interest is compounded quarterly. The interest rates for underpayments are published quarterly by the Internal Revenue Service in accordance with Section 6621. The rates are based on the quarterly short-term Applicable Federal Rate plus 3%, where the short-term rate for each quarter is based on the published rate for the second month of the previous quarter (e.g. the 2Q 2008 rate is based on the short-term rate from February 2008).

Id. This calculation assumes that \$3,897,034 in credits were paid immediately at the end of the

18 Defendant filed no opposition to the SEC's calculation of prejudgment interest. 19 "Prejudgment interest on a disgorgement amount is intended to deprive the wrongdoer of the 20 benefit of holding the illicit gains over time by reasonably approximating the cost of borrowing such gain from the government." SEC v. Contorinis, 743 F.3d 296, 308 (2d Cir. 2014). 21 22 Therefore, because Defendant possessed the ill-gotten gains from March 29, 2007 (the last date in 23 which Defendant participated in the insider trading scheme) to October 14, 2008 (the date in 24 which Defendant paid taxes on the ill-gotten gains), the SEC's calculation of \$46,686.84 for 25 prejudgment interest is reasonable and fair. 26 /// 27 ///

28

///

fraud. See Young Decl. ¶ 5.

1	III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>	
2	The SEC's calculation of prejudgment interest is proper based on the evidence, trial	
3	testimony, and court record. Having received no objections from Defendant, the Court orders	
4	Defendant to pay prejudgment interest in the sum of \$46,686.84.	
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7		
8	Dated: March 23, 2016	
9	the	
10	EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
24 25		
23 26		
20 27		
28		
	3	