1	STEPHEN L. COLLIER (State Bar No. 124877)
2	E-mail: steve@thc.org
	TENDERLOIN HOUSING CLINIC
3	126 Hyde Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94102
4	Telephone: (415) 771-9580
	Facsimile: (415) 771-1287
5	
6	Attorney for Plaintiffs CENTRAL CITY SPO COLLABORATIVE SAN EDANCISCO TENANTS UNION and
O	CENTRAL CITY SRO COLLABORATIVE, SAN FRANCISCO TENANTS UNION, and HOUSING RIGHTS COMMITTEE OF SAN FRANCISCO
7	
8	MICHAEL M. MARKMAN (State Bar No. 191388)
0	E-mail: mmarkman@cov.com KELLY P. FINLEY (State Bar No. 247519)
9	E-mail: kfinley@cov.com
10	JOSHUA D. HURWIT (State Bar No. 263108)
10	E-mail: jhurwit@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
11	One Front Street
10	San Francisco, CA 94111
12	Telephone: 415.591.6000
13	Facsimile: 415.591.6091
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CENTRAL CITY SRO COLLABORATIVE,
15	SAN FRANCISCO TENANTS UNION, and HOUSING RIGHTS COMMITTEE OF SAN FRANCISCO
16	MELINDA HAAG
17	United States Attorney
	JOANN M. SWANSON
18	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division
19	JONATHAN U. LEE (CSBN 148792)
	THOMAS R. GREEN (CSBN 203480)
20	VICTORIA R. CARRADERO (CSBN 217885)
21	Assistant United States Attorneys
_1	Northern District of California
22	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
23	San Francisco, California 94102
دے	Telephone: (415) 436-6909 Facsimile: (415) 436-6748
24	Email: Jonathan.Lee@USDOJ.gov
25	Attorneys for Defendant U. S. Postal Service
۷٦	
26	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
27	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
<i>∠1</i>	

28

1 2	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.,	Civil Case No.: 3:09-cv-01964-RS (EDL)	
3	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
4	V.	ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO SAN	
5	UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE,	FRANCISCO TENANT UNION'S INTERROGATORIES	
6	et al.,		
7	Defendants.		
8	WHEREAS, on June 29, 20	11, Defendant United States Postal Service's ("Postal	
9	Service") filed a revised version of its brief	f in opposition to Plaintiff City and County of San	
10	Francisco (the "City") Motion to Compel (Complete Responses to Plaintiff San Francisco Tenant	
11	Union's Interrogatories (the "Motion") pursuant to the Court's instructions at the June 27, 2011		
12	hearing: the United States Postal Service represents that no argument or evidence was added:		
13	WHEREAS, Plaintiff City a	and County of San Francisco's (the "City") reply brief	
14	in support of the Motion is due on June 30, 2011:		
15	WHEREAS, the hearing is	set for July 26, 2011;	
16	WHEREAS, although the U	United States Postal Service contends that no argument	
17	or evidence was added, the parties agree th	at Plaintiffs may have additional time to review and	
18	consider the Postal Service's revised brief before filing its reply brief; and		
19	WHEREAS, the parties pre-	fer to maintain the July 26, 2011 hearing date if such	
20	date is convenient for the Court;		
21	THE PARTIES STIPULAT	ΓΕ AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:	
22	1. The City shall file its reply	brief in support of the Motion no later than July 7,	
23	2011.		
24	2. The Motion shall be heard,	as previously scheduled, on July 26, 2011 at 9:00	
25	a.m., or on such date and time as the Court may otherwise direct.		
26	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
27	Dated: June 30, 2011	By:	
28		Stephen L. Collier	
۷٥		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	

1		CENTRAL CITY SRO
2		COLLABORATIVE, SAN FRANCISCO TENANTS UNION,
3		and HOUSING RIGHTS
4		COMMITTEE OF SAN FRANCISCO
5		Michael M. Markman Kelly P. Finley
6		Joshua D. Hurwit
7		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
		CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
8		FRANCISCO, CENTRAL CITY SRO COLLABORATIVE, SAN
9		FRANCISCO TENANTS UNION,
10		and HOUSING RIGHTS COMMITTEE OF SAN FRANCISCO
11		
12	Dated: June 30, 2011	By:
		Jonathan U. Lee
13		Thomas R. Green
14		Victoria Carradero
15		Attorneys for Defendant
16		UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
17		TES DISTRIC
18	IT IS SO ORDERED:	SSIATES DISTRICT COL
19	Dated:June 30, 2011	DEPED E
20		IT IS SO ORDERED Slight D. Laporte Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte
21		Plaint D. Legist
22		Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte
23		
24		DISTRICT OF CE
25		O1STRICT
26		
27		
<i>∠ I</i>		

28