1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney	
2	DANNY CHOU, State Bar #180240 Chief of Complex and Special Litigation	
3	SHERRI SOKELAND KAISER, State Bar #197986 TARA M. STEELEY, State Bar #231775	
4	Deputy City Attorneys City Hall, Room 234	
5	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4682	
6	San Francisco, Camorina 94102-4002   Telephone: (415) 554-4691   Facsimile: (415) 554-4747	
7		iser@sfgov.org; tara.steeley@sfgov.org
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
9	MICHAEL M. MARKMAN, State Bar #191388	
10	KELLY P. FINLEY, State Bar #247519 JOSHUA D. HURWIT, State Bar #263108	
11	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP One Front Street	
12	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 591-6000	
13	Facsimile: (415) 591-6000 Facsimile: (415) 591-6091 E-Mail: mmarkman@cov.com; kfinley@c	oov.com.iburwit@cov.com
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15	CENTRAL CITY SRO COLLABORATIVE, SAN FRANCISCO TENANTS UNION, and	
16	HOUSING RIGHTS COMMITTEE OF SAN FF	RANCISCO
17	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
18	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
19	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	Case No. C09-1964 RS (EDL)
20	FRANCISCO, CENTRAL CITY SRO COLLABORATIVE, SAN FRANCISCO	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE
21	TENANTS UNION, and HOUSING RIGHTS COMMITTEE OF SAN FRANCISCO	IN CAMERA REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS ON PRIVILEGE LOG
22	Plaintiffs,	
23	VS.	
24	UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE;	
25	JOHN E. POTTER, MICHAEL DALEY and NOEMI LUNA, in their official capacities,	
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		I
	STIP & [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. C09-1964 RS	n:\govlit\li2009\090828\00713367.doc
		Dockets.Just

1	WHEREAS the Court issued an order on July 12, 2011 granting in part and denying in part		
2	Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendant to Produce Privilege Log (Docket 155);		
3	WHEREAS the Court "agreed to examine a limited number of documents in camera to		
4	evaluate the adequacy of the [Defendant's privilege] log";		
5	WHEREAS the Court ordered the parties to "provide the documents to the Court no later than		
6	July 26, 2011";		
7	WHEREAS the parties have been meeting and conferring over which documents to submit to		
8	the Court for <u>in camera</u> review and have agreed to a schedule for reaching an agreement on those		
9	documents;		
10	WHEREAS due to the vacation schedules of the City's counsel, the parties need an additional		
11	week to submit documents to the Court for <u>in camera</u> review;		
12	THEREFORE, the parties HEREBY STIPULATE THAT:		
13	The parties shall provide the documents to the Court for <u>in camera</u> review no later than Augus		
14	2, 2011.		
15	Dated: July 18, 2011		
16	By:/ <u>s/ Danny Chou</u> DANNY CHOU		
17			
18	Dennis J. Herrera, City Attorney Danny Chou		
19	Sherri Sokeland Kaiser Tara M. Steeley		
20	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
21	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
22	Michael M. Markman Kelly P. Finley		
23	Joshua D. Hurwit		
24	Attorneys for Plaintiffs CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,		
25	CENTRAL CITY SRO COLLABORATIVE, SAN FRANCISCO TENANTS UNION, and		
26	HOUSING RIGHTS COMMITTEE OF SAN FRANCISCO		
27			
28	STIP & [PROPOSED] ORDER 1 n:\govlit\li2009\090828\00713367.doc   CASE NO. C09-1964 RS 1 1 1		

1	Dates: July 18, 2011
2	By <u>:/s/Jonathan U. Lee</u> JONATHAN U. LEE
3	Melinda Haag, United States Attorney
4	Joann M. Swanson Jonathan U. Lee
5	Thomas R. Green Victoria Carradero
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
7 8	CENTRAL CITY SRO COLLABORATIVE, SAN FRANCISCO TENANTS UNION, and HOUSING RIGHTS COMMITTEE OF SAN FRANCISCO
9	COUNTY
10	*THE FILER OF THIS DOCUMENT ATTESTS THAT CONCURRENCE IN THE FILING OF THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN OBTAINED FROM THE OTHER SIGNATORY.
11	TES DISTRICT
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.
13	Dated: July 21, 2011
14 15	
16	United Strangeh D. Laporte
17	
18	DISTRICT OF CR
19	91STRICT
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	STIP & [PROPOSED] ORDER 2 n:\govlit\li2009\090828\00713367.doc