UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Daniel Impey,	
	CASE NO. C 09-01973 SBA
Plaintiff(s),	
v. THE OFFICE DEPOT, INC., and DOES	STIPULATION AND [************************************
1-100, inclusive	
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:	
Court Processes:	
Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)	
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)	
Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	
Private Process:	
✓ Private ADR (please identify process and provider)	
The Parties are in the process of choosing a mutually agreed upon private mediator.	
i	
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)	
other requested deadline	<u> </u>
Dated: Aug. 20, 2009	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: Aug. 20, 2009	Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

PROROSEXX ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
Mediation
XPrivate ADR

Deadline for ADR session

X0 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/26/09

UNITED STATES MACININA JUDGE

Sandre B. Ormil