- 1		
1	Robert B. Hawk (SBN 118054)	
2	J. Christopher Mitchell (SBN 215639) HOGAN & HARTSON, LLP	
3	525 University Avenue, 4th Floor Palo Alto, California 94301	
	Telephone: (650) 463-4000	
4	Facsimile: (650) 463-4199 rbhawk@hhlaw.com	
5	jcmitchell@hhlaw.com	
6	Attorneys for Defendants HUGHES COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	
7	and HUGHES NETWORK SYSTEMS, LLC	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
11		
12	TINA WALTER and CHRISTOPHER BAYLESS,	CASE NO.: 09-cv-02136 SC
13	individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	JOINT STIPULATION EXTENDING
14	Plaintiffs,	TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND
15	v.	RELATED DEADLINES
16	HUGHES COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a	The Honorable Samuel Conti
17	Delaware corporation, and HUGHES NETWORK SYSTEMS, LLC, a Delaware corporation,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
27		
28		
	JOINT STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFEND.	ANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT;
	CASE NO.: 09-CV-02136 JCS	

WHEREAS Plaintiffs filed the Complaint on May 15, 2009, and sent Defendants Hughes Communications, Inc. and Hughes Network Systems, LLC (the "Hughes Defendants") a Waiver of Service of Summons on that same date;

WHEREAS the parties previously stipulated to an extension of time for Defendants to respond to the Complaint, and a response is currently due on August 13, 2009;

WHEREAS Eric Schumacher filed a putative class action complaint against the Hughes Defendants in the Superior Court for the State of California in the County of San Diego on June 9, 2009;

WHEREAS Defendants removed the Schumacher Action to the United States District Court for Southern District of California on June 25, 2009;

WHEREAS the parties to the Schumacher Action stipulated to an extension of time for Defendants to respond to the Complaint, and a response is currently due on August 12, 2009;

WHEREAS Plaintiffs in both the Schumacher Action and this Action (the "Walter Action") assert claims against Hughes and HCI on behalf of a putative class of California subscribers to the "HughesNet" internet service;

WHEREAS both Complaints assert claims regarding the HughesNet internet speeds, termination fees and other terms and conditions of the HughesNet service;

WHEREAS the two actions raise many of the same or similar issues of fact and law;

WHEREAS the parties to the Schumacher Action agreed, for the foregoing reasons, that

litigation of the two actions in separate forums would be inefficient and wasteful, and filed a joint motion to transfer the Schumacher Action to the Northern District of California;

WHEREAS on July 28, 2009 the Court in the Southern District of California granted the joint motion and issued an order transferring the Schumacher Action to the Northern District of California;

WHEREAS Plaintiffs in the Schumacher and Walter Actions intend to file a single consolidated complaint once the file for the Schumacher Action is opened in the Northern District of California;

1	WHEREAS, the file for the Schumacher Action does not yet appear to have been opened						
2	in the Northern District of California, and the parties are unaware of the status of the case;						
3	WHEREAS the parties agree that Defendants should not have to answer or otherwise						
4	respond to the individual Walter or Schumacher Complaints in light of Plaintiffs' intent to file a						
5	single consoli	single consolidated complaint;					
6	WHEREAS the parties agree that the current case management conference date should be						
7	vacated until such time as Plaintiffs file a consolidated complaint and the Schumacher and Walte						
8	Actions are consolidated;						
9	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties through their respective						
10	counsel, that:						
11	(1)	Defendants need not file a response to the pending Complaint;					
12	(2)	Plaintiffs will file a Consolidated Complaint on or before September 3, 2009;					
13	(3)	Defendants will answer or otherwise respond to the Consolidated Complaint on or					
14		before October 5, 2009; and					
15	(4)	(4) The Case Management Conference scheduled for August 28, 2009 shall be					
16		continued to November 9, 2009;					
17	IT IS S	SO STIPULATED.					
18	Dated: August	t 3, 2009	HOG	AN & HARTSON LLP			
19	:		By:	/s/			
20			·	Robert B. Hawk Attorneys for Defendants			
21				HUGHES COMMUNICATIONS, INC. and			
22				HUGHES NETWORK SYSTEMS LLC			
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							

JOINT STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; CASE NO.: 09-CV-02136 JCS

	1				
1	Dated: August, 2009	BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER & BIRKHAEUSER, LLP			
2		By: /s/			
3		Jennifer S. Rosenberg Attorneys for Plaintiffs TINA WALTER and			
5		CHRISTOPHER BAYLESS			
6	Dated: August, 2009	AUDET & PARTNERS, LLP			
7		By: /s/ Joshua C. Ezrin			
8		Joshua C. Ezrin Attorneys for Plaintiff			
9		ERIC SCHUMACHER			
11	PURSUANT TO THE ABOVE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.				
12					
13	Dated: August, 2009	THE HONORABLE SAMUEL CONTI			
14					
15					
16	The Case Management Conference is	continued to December 4, 20009 at 10:00 a.m.			
17					
18	Date: August6_, 2009	Sand lane			
19		PHE HONORABLE SAMUEL CONTI			
20 21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28		•			
	JOINT STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; CASE NO.: 09-CV-02136 JCS				

1	I, Robert B. Hawk, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this					
2	Joint Stipulation Extending Time For Defendants to Respond to Complaint. In compliance with					
3	General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Jennifer Rosenberg and Joshua Ezrin concurred in					
4	this filing.					
5						
6	DATED: August, 2009	HOGAN & HARTSON LLP				
7		Des	1-1			
8		ву	/s/			
9			Robert B. Hawk			
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

JOINT STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; CASE NO.: 09-CV-02136 JCS