1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Robert B. Hawk (SBN 118054) J. Christopher Mitchell (SBN 215639) HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP 525 University Avenue, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor Palo Alto, California 94301 Telephone: (650) 463-4000 Facsimile: (650) 463-4000 Facsimile: (650) 463-4199 robert.hawk@hoganlovells.com chris.mitchell@hoganlovells.com Attorneys for Defendants HUGHES COMMUNICATIONS, INC. and HUGHES NETWORK SYSTEMS, LLC UNITED STATES D		
9	NORTHERN DISTRIC	I OF CALIFOKNIA	
10 11	TINA WALTER, CHRISTOPHER BAYLESS, and ERIC SCHUMACHER, individually and on	CASE NO.: 09-CV-02136 SC	
12	behalf of all others similarly situated,	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER REGARDING RESPONSE TO	
13	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION	
14	v.	COMPLAINT	
15	HUGHES COMMUNICATIONS, INC. and HUGHES NETWORK SYSTEMS, LLC,	The Honorable Samuel Conti	
16	Defendants.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT CASE NO.: 09-CV-02136 SC		

1	WHEREAS, on January 7, 2011, Plaintiffs Tina Walter, Christopher Bayless, and Eric
2	Schumacher ("Plaintiffs") filed a Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlement and Conditional
3	Class Certification and Related Relief (the "Preliminary Approval Motion");
4	WHEREAS, also on January 7, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order
5	Granting Plaintiffs Leave to File Third Amended Complaint, and also filed a proposed Third
6	Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint (the "Third Amended Complaint");
7	WHEREAS, by Clerk's Notice dated January 12, 2011, Judge Conti vacated the January
8	21, 2011 hearing date on the Preliminary Approval Motion and has taken the Preliminary
9	Approval Motion under submission;
10	WHEREAS, the Court has not entered an Order on the stipulation granting Plaintiffs leave
11	to file the Third Amended Complaint;
12	WHEREAS, by prior stipulation, Defendants Hughes Communications, Inc. and Hughes
13	Network Services LLC ("Defendants") are required to respond to the previously filed Second
14	Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint (the "Second Amended Complaint") on or before
15	January 28, 2011;
16	WHEREAS, given the pendency of the Preliminary Approval Motion and in the interests
17	of efficiency and economy, the parties agree that Defendants need not answer or otherwise
18	respond on January 28, 2011 to the Second Amended Complaint;
19	///
20	///
21	///
22	///
23	///
24	///
25	///
26	///
27	
28	
	I STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ANSWER CASE NO.: 09-CV-02136 SC

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties through their respective		
2	counsel, that the deadline for Defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the Second Amended		
3	Complaint is extended by 30 days, from January 28, 2011 to February 28, 2011.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5			
6	Dated: January 27, 2011 HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP		
7	By: /s/		
8	Robert B. Hawk		
9	Attorneys for Defendants		
10	HUGHES COMMUNICATIONS, INC. an HUGHES NETWORK SYSTEMS LLC	d	
11			
12	Dated: January 27, 2011AUDET & PARTNERS, LLP		
13	By: <u>/s/</u>		
14	Joshua C. Ezrin		
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
16	PURSUANT TO THE ABOVE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17			
18	TES DISTRIC		
19	Dated: January 28, 2011		
20	THE HOLE IT IS SO ORDERED THE HOLE ONTI		
21	Z Judge Samuel Conti		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27	I, Robert B. Hawk, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this		
28	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Answer to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Consolidated		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT CASE NO.: 09-CV-02136 SC		

1	Class Action Complaint. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Joshua			
2	2 Ezrin concurred in this filing.			
3	3			
4	4 DATED: January 27, 2011 HOGA	N LOVELLS LLP		
5				
6	6 ByRol	/s/ pert B. Hawk		
7	7			
8	8			
9	9			
10	0			
11	1			
12	2			
13	3			
14	4			
15	5			
16	6			
17	7			
18	8			
19	9			
20	0			
21	1			
22	2			
23	3			
24				
25	5			
26				
27				
28	8	3		
	3 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT CASE NO.: 09-CV-02136 SC			