Rosenbalm	ı v. Foul	k et al	Doo	
United States District Court For the Northern District of California	1 2 3 4 5 6		TES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	7	ANNOENE DOGENDALM	N. G.00.2200.GL()	
	8	VINCENT ROSENBALM,	No. C 09-2208 SI (pr)	
	9	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT	
	11	V.		
	12	EDWARD FOULK; et al., Defendants.		
	13			
	14	This action is dismissed because the	amandad complaint fails to state a claim upon which	
	15	of the management of the		
	16	Tener may be gramed.		
	17			
	18	II IS SO ORDERED MIND MOSO	OCED.	
	19	D . 1 1 1 12 2010	Suran Illston	
	20	Dated: July 13, 2010	SUSAN ILLSTON	
	21		United States District Judge	
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			