

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

CONCEPTUS, INC.,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

HOLOGIC, INC.,

 Defendant.

Case No. 09-cv-02280-WHA

**STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER
ALLOWING EXPERT REPORT AND
LIMITED SUPPLEMENTAL
PRODUCTION OF FINANCIAL
INFORMATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER**

Date: N/A
Time: N/A
Courtroom: 9, 19th floor
Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup

1 Pursuant to the December 22, 2010 Notice Continuing Trial Date (Dkt. No. 359), Plaintiff
2 Conceptus, Inc. ("Conceptus") and Defendant Hologic, Inc. ("Hologic") hereby stipulate that:

3 Conceptus' retained expert Dr. Mark Glasser may submit a reply report responding to the
4 September 23, 2010 Reply Expert Report of Dr. Ted Anderson, M.D., Regarding U.S. Patent No.
5 6,634,361 on the limited issue of whether use of Conceptus' Essure product practices claims 37 and
6 38. Conceptus will withdraw its objection to the timeliness of Dr. Anderson's opinion on such issue.
7 The parties shall meet and confer concerning whether additional limited depositions of Drs.
8 Anderson and Glasser are appropriate on such issue.

9 The parties shall meet and confer to establish a date before trial by which they will
10 supplement document production sufficient to show unit sales and revenues of Adiana and Essure.

11 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED,

12 Dated: January 10, 2011

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

13
14 By: /s/ M. Patricia Thayer
15 M. Patricia Thayer
16 Attorneys for Plaintiff Conceptus, Inc.


17 Dated: January 10, 2011

HOWREY LLP

18
19 By: /s/ Matthew M. Wolf
20 Matthew M. Wolf
21 Attorneys for Defendant Hologic, Inc.

22 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

23
24 Dated: January 10, 2010

25 By: 
26 Hon. William H. Alsup
27 United States District Judge